

Compliments of Manitoba Crop Insurance Corporation, Manitoba Agriculture & Food, Manitoba Rural Adaptation Council and the Manitoba Co-operator

Climate maps & Statistics

MCIC Management Plus yield data

Yield robbers

Identifying and managing for the most common causes of yield loss in your region



INDEX

Crop yield response to seeding dates4
Risk Area Map6
The usual suspects catch farmers year after year14
Expanded growing season could mean big changes for Manitoba crops20
Crop traits & variety differences
key to puzzle
Variety Yield Tables
MANITOBA
• Risk Area 2 12
• Bisk Area 3
• Risk Area 4
• Risk Area 5
• Risk Area 6
• Risk Area 7
• Risk Area 8
• Risk Area 9
• Risk Area 10
RISK Area 11
• Risk Area 14 36
Bisk Area 15 36
• Risk Area 16
Agroclimatic Maps
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation10
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 902 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 19
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 19 2002 Normal Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2002 Total Accumulation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 10 2002 Normal Accumulation of of Precipitation 10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 19 2002 Normal Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 10 2002 Normal Accumulation of of Precipitation 10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 19 2002 Normal Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 202 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 202 Total Accumulation
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 19 2002 Normal Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2022 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2024 Total Stimated Evapotranspiration in Wheat 208 Plant Moisture Stress in Wheat <
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation of Precipitation 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units 202 Total Accumulation in Wheat 203 Percent Crop Mo
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .19 2002 Normal Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 Total Estimated Evapotranspiration in Wheat .28 Plant Moisture Stress in Wheat .28 Plant Moisture Stress in Wheat .29 Average Date of Last Spring Frost at 0°C .37
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Potal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .19 2002 Normal Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2012 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2013 Estimated Evapotranspiration in Wheat .28 Plant Moisture Stress in Wheat .28 Percent Crop Moisture Demand in .29 Average Date of Last Spring Frost at 0°C .37 Average Date of First Fall Frost at 0°C .37
Agroclimatic Maps 2002 Total Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Precipitation .10 2002 Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Percent of Normal Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .18 2002 Total Accumulation of Growing Degree Days Above 5°C .19 2002 Normal Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2002 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 2012 Total Accumulation of Corn Heat Units .22 Total Estimated Evapotranspiration in Wheat .28 Plant Moisture Stress in Wheat .28 Plant Moisture Stress in Wheat .29 Average Date of Last Spring Frost at 0°C .37 Average Date of First Fall Frost at 0°C .37 Average Length of the .38

Cover photo by MIREK WIECHSEL Supplement to The *Manitoba Co-operator* February 20, 2003

Management Plus

Information makes profit

The Manitoba Management Plus Program (MMPP) is pleased once again to provide Manitoba producers with it's annual risk area variety performance and acreage information for wheat, barley, oats, flax, argentine canola, and field peas.

The mutually beneficial partnering of the Manitoba Crop Insurance Corporation (MCIC) variety information with the Manitoba Agriculture and Food (MAF) map information has been continued. Please note that this information is provided for information purposes only, no recommendations are provided.

Publication and distribution of this information has been made possible through the sponsorship of the Manitoba Co-operator, Manitoba Crop Insurance Corporation and Manitoba Agriculture and Food (MAF).

The variety yield information listed in the following tables is a compilation of the actual on-farm yields reported to MCIC by producers in the respective risk areas. In order for a variety's information to be listed in a table the variety must have been sown by at least three producers on a minimum of 500 acres. Also please note that the reported yields have not been adjusted for quality. The tables show up to five years of data, so users can review variety performance under weather conditions that vary from year to year. A MCIC risk area map is provided on page 6 to assist with locating risk areas of interest.

The 2002 crop year was one of extremes and presented many challenges. Most of the crop was sown by the first week of June. Cool weather during May and early June slowed early crop growth and spring frosts damaged some early sown crops. Then, for the second year in a row, eastern Manitoba experienced excess moisture problems caused by late spring rains – although the area affected was smaller. Warmer than average temperatures started at the end of June and continued into July, which caused severe stress on

some fields and accelerated crop development in other fields. Eventually cool wet weather arrived in August and in turn delayed maturity of some fields. Harvest generally began at the end of August but didn't proceed smoothly as it was often slowed by frequent heavy rains. The frequent rains at harvest were the main cause of grade reductions including sprouting, mildew and green seed issues. Additionally, early September hailstorms in the southern region of the province resulted in considerable late season losses. Crop diseases and insects generally kept a low profile this year. Significant harvest progress was made during the last two weeks of September and by snowfall in late October there was very little crop left in the field. Despite the year of extremes, crops did better than expected and Manitoba producers generally fared better than producers in Alberta and Saskatchewan. For example, 2002 yields in Manitoba were roughly 10 per cent above average for wheat, canola and peas, roughly 5 per cent above average for flax, and roughly 10 per cent below average for barley and oats.

Management and environmental influences have not been standardized in the variety yield tables so caution should be exercised when doing cross-variety comparisons, particularly when there is limited years of information. Cross variety comparisons are most meaningful between varieties grown on large acreage over many years.

To make the best variety selection for your farm it is highly recommended that you use the information provided in "Yield Manitoba" in conjunction with other variety information sources such as "Seed Manitoba". If you would like to see additional crop variety information, or have any questions on the MMPP in general, please contact your local MAF Representative or Farm Business Management Specialist.

You can also visit the MMPP internet web site at http://www.mmpp.com or the MAF web site at http://www.gov.mb.ca/agriculture.

Yield Manitoba is published annually by the Manitoba Co-operator in conjunction with the Manitoba Rural Adaptation Council (MRAC), Manitoba Crop Insurance Corporation (MCIC) and Manitoba Agriculture and Food (MAF).



RED SPRING WHEAT YI	MANITOBA						
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC BARRIE	40	39	42	31	1,850,229	38	1,428,512
AC DOMAIN	36	40	44	38	478,569	40	434,443
AC INTREPID	33	51	46	39	133,639	40	108,213
AC CADILLAC	38	38	42	32	157,458	34	98,042
AC MAJESTIC	41	42	43	32	135,167	38	84,752
AC CORA	35	32	41	32	117,810	35	82,489
CDC BOUNTY	_	_	_	40	6,501	38	76,944
CDC TEAL	33	37	39	35	111,656	39	66,566
MCKENZIE	47	45	43	33	61,569	39	47,790
AC SPLENDOR	41	47	49	41	55,229	39	41,650
AC SUPERB	—	—	—	39	1,492	47	27,630
ALSEN	_	_	_	_	—	46	27,015
PRODIGY	_	52	39	30	26,225	36	17,630
5600HR	_		45	35	16,055	35	16,706
AC ELSA	43	37	41	35	27,607	39	15,858
KATEPWA	35	36	33	26	11,183	29	6,345
5500HR	—	—	—	_	_	38	6,062
NO VAR	41	1	38	33	2,657	36	4,242
COLUMBUS	27	21	29	21	8,183	18	3,976
ROBLIN	29	34	31	29	5,636	32	3,859
SELKIRK	29	32	30	22	1,737	21	1,078
LAURA	31		—	—	_	25	1,071
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREA	GE§	38.3	2,604,451

DURUM WHEAT YIELDS	MANITOBA						
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
KYLE	33	32	35	27	15,666	27	14,532
AC AVONLEA	—	40	42	30	8,316	36	7,423
AC MORSE	37	37	43	37	4,975	40	6,220
AC NAPOLEON	—		—	—		38	1,069
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 32.4							

EXTRA STRONG WHEA	TYIEL	DS BY	VARIE	FY 1998		M	ANITOBA	
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
LASER	44	48	49	46	9,574	32	5,998	
GLENLEA	41	41	42	32	12,666	35	4,360	
WILDCAT	40	44	48	41	2,471	29	2,168	
AC GLENAVON	—	—	—	38	1,129	40	1,096	
AMAZON	—	_	54	39	1,323	38	712	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 34.0 14,951								

PRAIRIE SPRING WHEA	AT YIEL	DS BY	VARIE	TY 1998	3—2002 [†]	м	ANITOBA	
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
AC TABER	44	38	49	53	6,586	40	16,422	
AC CRYSTAL	47	30	56	42	8,890	42	6,170	
OSLO	55	52	54	62	1,350	48	1,642	
HY644	—	—	—	—	_	58	1,341	
AC VISTA	—	47	_	38	842	54	1,284	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 43.0								

FEED WHEAT YIELDS B		MANITOBA					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC SNOWBIRD**	_	_	_	33	15,531	46	5,371
RUSS	49	_	—	50	2,874	50	4,218
PIONEER 2375		_	_	37	2,918	44	3,073
FJELD	—	39	43	29	2,906	22	1,703
FORGE		_	_	_	_	9	1,597
IVAN	—	—	_	—	_	55	1,511
GUNNER		_	_	17	986	30	1,318
GRANDIN	39	17	24	41	1,170	27	923
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 40.2							

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†]							MANITOBA		
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
CDC FALCON	—	_	70	61	13,514	58	80,884		
CDC CLAIR	55	63	64	50	70,283	50	41,392		
CDC HARRIER	—	55	66	51	48,353	46	29,603		
CDC KESTRAL	47	61	60	44	36,873	47	18,861		

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

001 2		
2001	2002 2	1002 ⁺
cres \	Yield A	Acres
—	56	2,950
1,258	22	1,119
5	52.8 17	4,824
	cres 1,258	cres Yield A 56 1,258 22 52.8 17

| | |

4 | | |

| | | |

(

t

§

١

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET		M	ANITOBA				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC ASSINIBOIA	105	103	91	70	271,880	69	459,284
TRIPLE CROWN	96	92	92	62	197,830	63	199,291
AC PINNACLE	_	_	90	83	5,435	70	58,589
RIEL	86	91	83	53	44,933	60	43,770
ROBERT	71	64	65	54	29,823	41	23,506
AC RONALD	—	—	—	88	597	84	18,251
COMMON	53	54	57	47	8,901	49	14,982
DUMONT	52	38	50	45	10,750	30	11,180
AC PREAKNESS	77	68	72	60	9,506	42	10,580
JERRY	93	96	77	61	9,036	65	9,232
DERBY	66	65	80	63	6,714	46	6,727
CDC BOYER	77	71	77	58	4,510	44	6,639
AC MEDALLION	97	77	73	56	6,397	40	5,870
NO VAR	50	1	54	43	1,824	31	3,262
FORAGE	38	35	11	_	_	4	909
HARMON	50	31	42	37	527	22	884
FIDLER	61	70	62	46	549	39	557
AC BELMONT	—	—	_	—	—	27	510
ROYAL	_	_	_	_	_	33	500
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	64.7	878.487

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†]						MANITOBA			
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
ROBUST	60	56	62	51	316,205	50	264,205		
AC METCALFE	64	51	64	50	113,523	51	156,569		
CDC STRATUS	60	61	63	47	107,818	54	132,980		
EXCEL	65	59	64	57	96,880	53	79,325		
STANDER	62	70	58	46	22,075	51	23,855		
CDC DOLLY	—	59	69	52	23,069	52	22,719		
BEDFORD	59	60	62	50	27,757	56	22,686		
AC LACOMBE	54	47	55	48	17,641	49	16,238		
AC ROSSER	—	76	66	53	15,084	53	16,068		
CDC BOLD	—	—	57	54	9,925	54	10,614		
CONLON	—	—	_	—	—	62	8,780		
STANDARD	58	73	52	36	5,290	53	8,437		
B1602	57	60	62	57	10,517	64	7,126		
AC OXBOW	50	45	49	49	9,561	40	6,958		
CDC KENDALL	_	—	70	59	6,791	51	6,907		
XENA	—	—	—	56	1,123	50	6,522		
CDC SISLER	64	45	67	46	6,034	45	5,886		
CDC MCGWIRE	_	—	—	_	_	48	4,987		
HARRINGTON	50	44	53	53	5,775	56	4,627		
CDC THOMPSON	—	—	60	40	9,612	57	3,703		
VIRDEN	62	60	53	40	4,385	10	3,647		
AC BACON	—	57	66	51	7,350	52	3,535		
COMMON	42	47	42	37	2,948	34	3,419		
CHAPAIS	—	—	—	70	1,040	42	3,407		
CDC GAINER	_	56	59	38	11,549	45	3,337		
VIVAR	_	—	—	_	_	66	2,717		
FALCON	55	57	61	36	2,557	39	2,338		
MERIT	—	—	75	74	1,830	40	2,150		
CDC FREEDOM	_	_	59	35	9,295	25	2,026		
NO VAR	10	1	51	40	1,507	26	2,007		
MANLEY	43	34	50	23	1,224	50	1,670		
SOMERVILLE	—	—	47	50	883	14	1,604		
AC HARPER	—	—	—	59	1,443	60	1,441		
AC RANGER	—	—	—	—	—	58	1,415		
BRONCO	56	45	47	37	3,090	32	1,193		
LACEY	_	—	—	—	_	61	950		
ARGYLE	45	54	50	48	1,531	40	879		
DUKE	64	59	—	—	_	44	703		
WESTFORD	_	_	_	_	_	20	672		
ELLICE	_	—	—	38	600	27	637		
TANKARD	50	39	44	53	1,645	51	616		
FOSTER	69	48	79	70	959	40	571		

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only

Management CECECECE

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA

```
8
```

) 1

1

) 2 7) 2) 2) 1

7

5

7 3)

5 3)

7 5 1

BARLET TIELDS BT V							
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002+
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
BONANZA	37	47	39	_		15	511
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	51.1	855,746
FLAX YIELDS BY VARI	ETY 199	8—200)2 [†]			M	ANITOBA
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC BETHUNE	_	_	21	18	85,605	22	139,897
TAURUS	—	_	21	20		20	51,023
AC EMERSON	21	22	16	16	43,725	20	36,844
1084	_	_	—	_	_	17	34,665
NORLIN	19	19	17	15	_	19	28,496
CDC NORMANDY	21	21	19	18	35,414	18	20,231
FLANDERS	21	19	19	19	28,562	18	18,279
AC MCDUFF	22	22	20	18	29,466	20	16,788
AC CARNDUFF	—	24	19	17	23,429	21	16,455
AC WATSON	22	21	18	18	12,715	21	12,010
2047	_	_	_	—	_	20	8,990
AC LINORA	21	24	19	16	12,777	18	8,688
SOMME	16	14	17	17	_	15	7,746
NORMAN	20	21	18	15	—	20	6,134
LINOTT	19	10	17	15	3,265	17	2,242
HALA	—	—	—	—	_	18	2,001
M5791	—	_	_	—	_	24	1,944
989	—	—	—	—	—	21	1,821
MCGREGOR	21	21	15	14	1,894	21	1,661
NO VAR	19	1	17	18	1,624	20	1,378
CDC VALOUR	_	_	_	15	887	17	1,334
OMEGA 18	22	19		_	_	18	985
VIMY	10		16	14	_	18	606
947	_	13	13	20	991	20	554
NO VAR (Yellow Seeded/Li	inola)—					24	512
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	20.2	422,254

ARGENTINE CANOLAY	IELDS BY VARIETY			1998—	-2002 ^T	MANITOBA	
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
INVIGOR 2663 LT	_	_	_	31	123,865	35	214,309
45A77 ST	—	_	_	34	3,329	32	203,167
46A76 (ST)	_	45	32	29	282,090	31	200,735
45A55 (RT)	—	_	37	27	30,668	29	196,138
34-55 RT	_	_	29	29	203,638	31	189,860
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	—	33	30	119,131	34	189,552
INVIGOR 2733 LT	_	_	_	24	3,206	34	129,531
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	37	30	28	63,050	31	65,164
46A65	33	36	29	27	86,471	31	56,837
45H21 (RT)	—	_	_	_	_	33	46,929
HYOLA 401	34	37	28	27	37,681	30	44,883
NEX 720	_	_	_	23	3,525	31	43,664
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	32	33	29	28	19,515	28	38,290
NEX 705	—	_	33	25	33,239	31	33,534
SW RAZOR (RT)	_	_	32	27	7,218	28	21,185
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	_	_	27	16,948	28	19,601
EBONY	32	35	31	28	21,504	34	17,330
CONQUEST (RT)	—	33	30	26	151,229	27	15,855
HYLITE 225RR RT	—	_	29	28	15,595	28	15,234
MILLENNIUM 03	_	_	26	23	25,914	26	14,964
5-25 RT	_	_	33	28	30,743	30	12,796
HYOLA 454RR (RT)	_	—	31	27	4,963	28	12,014
NEX 715	_	_	_	29	1,543	27	10,989
DKL 35-85 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	34	10,062
3235 (RT)	—	_	_	_	_	28	9,603
799RR RT	—	—	—	28	1,782	31	8,673
45A51 (RT)	30	33	29	_	_	29	8,618
561RR RT	—	—	31	—	_	28	8,332
SW RIDER (RT)	—	35	25	30	9,053	30	7,962
45A71 (ST)	26	29	27	22	11,106	23	6,801
23-38 RT	—	_	—	—	_	29	6,728
3640 (LT)	—	39	_	29	1,265	31	5,815
811RR (RT)	_	_	_	_	_	28	5,465
SW ARROW (RT)	26	29	25	23	14,959	25	5,362
PGS 3640 (LT)	_	34	_	25	1,823	33	5,324
SW WARRIOR (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	28	5,122
SW GLADIATORR RT	_	_	_	_	_	31	4,569
NO VAR	27	0	24	28	5,925	23	4,449
SP ADMIRABLE RR RT	_	_	_	_	_	28	4,422

ARGENTINE CANOLA YIE	ELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	-2002 [†]	Ν	
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [∓]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
45A54 RT	—	_	—	26	50,982	24	4,328
1812 RT	—	—	—	—	—	28	4,088
RR CHAMPION RT	—	—	—	21	5,793	28	3,938
46A55	15	35	29	28	2,459	30	3,937
CANTERRA 1867 RT	—	_	30	29	10,251	25	3,868
DS ROUGHRIDER RT	—	_	_	23	5,955	20	3,803
SW FLARE LL (LT)	—	—	—	30	1,869	25	3,610
AC EXCEL	22	22	20	16	6,478	16	3,422
DKL 3311	—	—	25	26	6,934	28	3,333
IMC 302	—	_	_	_	_	26	3,331
46A73 (ST)	35	34	28	28	7,745	25	3,260
SKYHAWK	—	_	31	26	2,941	27	3,035
NEX 500	_	36	29	24	5,86	24	2,589
EAGLE	27	29	26	25	2.606	30	2.578
Q 2	35	_	_	23	7.903	22	2.548
SP BANNER (RT)	_	_	_	_	,	34	2.545
3345 (RT)	_	_	—	_	_	36	2,475
45H20 RT	_	_	_	27	2 232	32	2 215
I G 3445	_	_	_			29	1 962
449RR RT	_		26	25	4 863	18	1 953
	_	28	28	25	2 967	27	1,888
I BD 612RR (RT)		20	20	20	2,507	31	1,000
	25	21	21	24	4 200	17	1,004
VELSEV DT	30	51	31	24	4,290	26	1,070
	_	_	_	25	10,320	20	1,013
				10		32	1,791
I RAILDLAZER	29	21	22	10	1 5 7 0	15	1,707
INVIGOR 2673 LI	_		30	29	4,578	37	1,740
2631LL (LI)		27	27	20	3,625	27	1,686
	26	_	26	24	3,341	20	1,666
44A89			24	19	1,955	27	1,564
QUANTUM	27	31		22	5,034	23	1,384
EXCEED (LI)	28	27	22	21	3,241	30	1,280
INDEPENDENCE (LI)	25	26	27	21	3,149	23	1,240
SP BUCKY (RT)	-	-	-	-	-	24	1,196
499RR RT	—	—	—	—	—	35	1,181
1604 (ST)	-	_	_	_	_	33	1,041
279	—	_	_	24	690	31	1,035
1492	—	33	_	_	-	25	1,012
SP BOBCAT CL (ST)	—	—	—	—	—	22	1,001
INVIGOR 2163 (LT)	33	35	30	23	2,732	28	976
NEX 700	—	—	25	24	2,647	28	972
FOREMOST	—	—	22	13	507	31	948
THUNDER	—	—	—	—	—	9	935
HYOLA 440	—	—	_	_	_	32	854
QUEST (RT)	29	33		26	3,050	21	792
OAC DYNAMITE	30	30	25	19	3,989	25	784
INVIGOR 2473 (LT)	—	38	29	25	1,067	33	783
DKL 30-55 (RT)	_	_	_	_	_	32	757
IMC106RR RT	—	—	_	—	_	24	745
44A53 (RT)	—	_	_	22	1,776	23	727
BEACON	21	18	16	16	896	13	699
HYB XING BLCK FEMALE	_	_	_	_		23	673
JEWEL	29	26	29	21	2.875	32	666
HYLITE 215CL (ST)	_	_	_	24	851	23	660
CANTERRA 1849 RR (RT)	—		—			23	620
LG 3295 (RT)		30	_	22	1.062	23	590
289CL (ST)	_	_	_		.,	40	580
NEX 710	_	_	33	25	11 232	19	566
HUDSON			25	22	2 051	26	501
WEIGHTED AVERAGE VI				CREAC	2,001	31.2	2 017 602
WEIGHTED AVERAGE TIE		טו שאוי		UREAU	15.2	31.2	2,017,002

POLISH CANOLA YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] N									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
HYSYN 110	19	_	_	_	_	21	1,151		
FAIRVIEW	19	14		—	—	20	578		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	52.8	174,824		

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY	MANITOBA						
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ALFETTA	38	40	47	45	24,664	32	29,367
CROMA	36	42	38	36	12,683	26	26,789
DELTA	41	38	47	37	25,514	33	25,985
SWING	—	35	49	39	10,465	38	18,185

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table [‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only YIELD MANITOBA february 2003

9

1

(

l

4 | | |

I

(

1

§



FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] MANITOBA										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
MAJORET	35	37	42	34	9,440	35	13,561			
EIFFEL	32	41	42	37	9,217	32	10,500			
CDC MOZART	—	_	_	36	901	37	10,393			
ECLIPSE	—	—	—	40	794	38	7,347			
SCORPIO	30	21	42	47	5,664	26	3,496			
SW BRAVO	—	50	38	33	4,840	35	3,047			
CARNEVAL	32	35	37	30	6,036	30	2,680			
TOLEDO	—	—	—	33	1,253	39	2,563			
ESPACE	—	39	37	28	3,371	33	2,308			
COBRA	—	—	48	40	1,144	30	1,650			
CARRERA	31	39	37	37	2,414	27	1,467			
DS STALWARTH	—	—	—	_	_	39	1,364			
PROFI	30	31	33	26	3,263	27	1,204			
4010	—	—	—	21	1,244	33	1,133			
DS-ADMIRAL	_	_	_	_	_	36	1,122			
MARCO	—	40	43	33	3,059	22	1,076			
MILLENNIUM	—	_	—	37	510	46	1,076			
NITOUCHE	—	—	_	35	829	43	993			
CDC APRIL	_	_	_	_	_	20	785			
PACKER	28	30	—	—	_	27	665			
SWIFT	—	_	_	_	_	24	585			
COURIER (MAPLE)	—	—	—	_	_	23	503			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	32.6	176,414								

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 1											
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
AC BARRIE	29	16	39	32	56,567	26	51,824				
AC CORA	28	17	33	28	9,570	30	7,889				
MCKENZIE	_	_	40	29	10,831	30	7,607				
AC CADILLAC	—		42	29	6,391	29	6,654				

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA [·]										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
CDC BOUNTY	—	_	—	—	_	30	4,025			
AC INTREPID	—	—	39	28	3,917	27	2,641			
COLUMBUS	27	18	26	22	2,421	15	1,876			
PRODIGY	—	—	35	28	6,412	26	1,773			
AC DOMAIN	25	17	30	32	1,922	26	1,377			
CDC TEAL	24	23	34	28	6,040	23	1,255			
5600HR	—	_	—	31	1,243	23	650			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	26.6	88,959			

DURUM WHEAT YIELD	S BY VA	RIETY	1998–	-2002 [†]		RISK	AREA 1
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
KYLE	25	24	30	—	_	26	4,211
AC AVONLEA	—	—	38	22	5,898	21	1,445
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	25.2	6.291

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RIS									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
CDC CLAIR	—	49	58	38	8,426	27	2,079		
CDC FALCON	—	_	—	—	_	38	1,633		
CDC HARRIER	—	_	_	42	5,888	36	921		
CDC KESTRAL	34	43	57	35	4,466	25	900		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	31.5	5,686		

OAT YIELDS BY VARIE	RISK	AREA 1					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC ASSINIBOIA	_	65	78	70	22 869	37	36 405

 † Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only

YIELD MANITOBA february 2003

OAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC PREAKNESS	67	23	69	66	3,188	38	2,741		
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	—	—	42	2,678		
DUMONT	50	22	46	50	2,252	27	2,393		
TRIPLE CROWN	—	—	—	51	1,582	42	1,858		
AC MEDALLION	49	—	70	49	2,154	19	1,657		
CDC BOYER	68	—	82	48	872	48	1,360		
ROBERT	54	17	40	29	1,190	10	1,340		
COMMON	_	_	_	_	_	21	522		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 35.9								

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VA	RIS	AREA 1					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC METCALFE	_	_	62	48	7,860	37	14,055
ROBUST	50	35	55	48	18,884	33	12,571
CDC STRATUS	_	—	53	57	6,388	42	5,517
CDC DOLLY	—	—	65	43	3,800	51	3,327
AC ROSSER	—	—	63	54	2,514	38	3,280
XENA	—	—	—	—	—	40	2,689
CDC SISLER	_	—	—	74	740	35	1,525
EXCEL	51	38	60	46	2,826	30	1,280
CDC KENDALL	_	—	—	_	_	38	1,034
ELLICE	—	—	—	—	—	25	587
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	36.7	48,361

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIE		RISK AREA 1					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
TAURUS	—	—	—	19	3,257	15	7,306
1084	—	_	20	18	1,795	14	6,187
CDC BETHUNE	—	_	_	20	651	19	3,990
SOMME	12	9	18	16	4,876	12	3,186
CDC NORMANDY	—	11	19	17	3,761	11	2,469
AC WATSON		_	17	16	1,947	15	2,401
NORLIN	17	12	17	18	917	13	1,320
AC EMERSON	17	_	18	_	_	18	577
FLANDERS	19	_	17	—	_	18	517
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	§	14.4	29,403				

ARGENTINE CANOLA Y	RIS	AREA 1							
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
46A76 (ST)	—	_	31	26	11,431	19	12,423		
45A55 (RT)	—	_	—	—	—	21	7,644		
45A77 ST	—	_	—	—	_	22	6,944		
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	26	31	26	3,711	20	5,457		
INVIGOR 2573 LT	_	—	—	23	4,743	24	5,400		
34-55 RT	—	—	28	24	3,665	21	3,152		
INVIGOR 2663 LT	_	_	—	33	788	22	2,424		
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	26	21	29	21	1,411	19	2,018		
NEX 705	—	—	—	22	1,025	19	1,922		
SW RAZOR (RT)	—	_	—	—	_	20	1,290		
NEX 720	—	_	_	—	_	16	1,289		
45A51 (RT)	24	20	28	22	2,063	30	895		
46A65	18	18	23	22	1,031	14	729		
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	—	—	—	—	24	576		
EBONY	18	15	28	19	2,984	26	524		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE [§] 20.3									

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY		RISK AREA 1					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
DELTA	—	_	_	36	3,957	28	5,703
ALFETTA	36	—	52	51	965	24	2,133
MAJORET	28	—	41	24	1,094	26	1,484
CROMA	—	—	—	—	—	18	1,203
CDC MOZART	_	—	—	—	—	30	933
COBRA	—	—	49	—	—	29	746
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	§	26.5	15,285				

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
AC BARRIE	36	23	42	37	235,480	35	212,669			
CDC BOUNTY	_	_	_	42	1,284	36	21.896			

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers
 § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 2									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC DOMAIN	34	28	45	38	25,588	38	21,498		
AC CORA	33	25	40	35	20,490	30	16,094		
AC CADILLAC	—	37	43	33	38,793	27	12,884		
5600HR	—	—	—	38	2,163	32	4,726		
MCKENZIE	_	37	40	36	6,013	40	4,218		
AC INTREPID	—	41	43	38	6,476	37	4,137		
PRODIGY	—	—	38	28	6,220	29	3,349		
AC SUPERB	—	—	—	—	—	41	2,952		
ALSEN	—	—	—	_	_	42	2,432		
AC MAJESTIC	33	22	42	36	3,263	40	2,074		
AC ELSA	52	20	41	33	8,496	40	1,821		
CDC TEAL	32	23	36	29	10,789	20	1,777		
COLUMBUS	27	15	31	21	5,344	20	1,755		
5500HR	—	—	—	—	_	27	894		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	34.8	315,906		

1

4

; , | | |

1

í

١

DURUM WHEAT YIELDS	BYVA	RIETY	1998–	–2002 [†]		RIS	AREA 2
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acre
KYLE	34	30	36	29	8,355	26	9,181
AC AVONLEA	—	38	41	30	5,389	38	4,520
AC NAPOLEON	—	_	—	—	_	41	759
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	29.8	14.941

FEED WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AI								
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
AC SNOWBIRD**				42	2,816	43	1,899	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 43.1								

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS	S BY V	ARIETY	′ 1998-	–2002 [†]		RIS	AREA 2
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002^{\ddagger}
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC HARRIER	—	—	65	56	19,273	48	4,675
CDC CLAIR	—	63	59	56	10,562	35	3,463
CDC FALCON	_	—	_	62	2,335	46	2,390
CDC KESTRAL	42	61	61	51	8,401	37	1,934
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	-§	42.1	12,492

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET		RISK AREA 2					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002^{\ddagger}
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC ASSINIBOIA	—	72	95	84	12,685	51	25,729
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	101	533	64	6,386
TRIPLE CROWN	91	53	91	74	6,104	42	5,470
DUMONT	56	25	56	35	1,193	19	1,064
COMMON		_	71	_		26	886
ROBERT	72	35	70	59	1,188	26	667
AC RONALD	_	_	_	_	_	78	657
NO VAR	_	1	—	—	_	32	537
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	50.0	42,559

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 2									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
ROBUST	59		60	59	37,823	39	28,450		
CDC STRATUS	—	36	73	67	10,498	54	18,160		
AC METCALFE	—	51	69	62	11,624	47	15,814		
EXCEL	64	48	70	65	12,713	47	12,705		
BEDFORD	61	41	66	60	1,972	44	1,784		
CONLON	—	—	—		_	55	1,730		
CDC BOLD	—	_	—	69	906	46	1,455		
CDC DOLLY		—	—	—	_	42	1,152		
STANDER	61	45	70	_	_	45	1,028		
XENA	—	39	—	—	_	53	727		
AC LACOMBE	50	46	61	55	1,089	40	527		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 45.6 8									

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 2										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
TAURUS	_	_	19	20	6,424	18	13,447			
CDC BETHUNE	—	—	22	22	11,207	19	11,849			
1084	—	_	21	19	3,924	15	7,197			

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only Management

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA

5

)

I

ò

) } |

)

777)

2

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 2									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC EMERSON	21	17	21	22	3,979	16	6,345		
FLANDERS	20	19	18	18	5,024	15	4,623		
NORLIN	20	18	18	18	2,380	15	2,907		
2047	_	_	_	_	_	16	1,864		
CDC NORMANDY	22	19	21	21	8,562	12	1,811		
AC CARNDUFF	_	_	20	20	4,326	16	1,704		
AC LINORA	23	20	19	26	2,052	14	1,172		
AC WATSON	_	19	19	19	825	20	820		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	16.9	55,365		
		BX/1/4	DIETV	4000	t				

ARGENTINE CANOLA I	IELDS	BYVA		1998—2	2002	RISP	
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002+
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
INVIGOR 2663 LT	-	-	_	34	16,863	29	37,770
45A55 (RT)	—	—	_	31	911	24	35,112
45A77 ST	_	_	_	_	_	24	19,288
34-55 RT	—	—	33	31	24,739	25	17,546
46A76 (ST)	_	—	35	33	23,048	27	17,225
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	—	36	31	8,491	31	15,870
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	31	32	32	12,683	26	12,343
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	_	_	—	_	27	7,383
NEX 720	—	_	—	_	_	26	6,082
SW RAZOR (RT)	—	—		27	876	23	5,230
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	32	29	27	32	1,290	27	4,196
35-25 RT	—	—	35	30	9,534	27	3,871
NEX 705	_			30	4,284	29	3,273
HYLITE 225RR RT	—	—	—	—	—	22	3,233
SW FLARE LL (LT)	_	_	_	33	813	26	2,856
45H21 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	28	2,834
SW GLADIATORR RT	_	_	_	_	_	27	2,229
SW WARRIOR (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	28	2,204
CONQUEST (RT)	_	_	31	25	22,988	18	2,036
1812 RT	—	—	—	—		31	2,005
46A65	32	31	31	31	6,989	16	1,837
EBONY	33	32	32	34	4,662	23	1,812
HYOLA 454RR (RT)	_	_	_	_	_	21	1,525
3640 (LT)	—	—	18	—	—	20	1,435
DKL 35-85 (RT)	_	_		_	_	28	1,430
449RR RT	—	—		—	—	17	1,307
NEX 500	_	_		_	_	29	1,305
MILLENNIUM 03	_	_	_	25	1,440	13	1,265
RR CHAMPION RT	—	—		35	1,433	25	1,265
46A73 (ST)	_	30	30	28	1,416	27	1,225
811RR (RT)	—	_		_		22	1,051
45A54 RT	_	_	_	31	6,888	19	1,024
46A55	—	_	_		-,	31	855
561RR RT	_	_	_	30	1,235	23	695
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	_	—	_		16	685
799RR RT	_	_	_	_	_	24	609
NEX 700	—	_	31	_		22	594
CANTERRA 1867 RT	_	_	33	29	3.250	22	573
2631LL (LT)	—	_	_	29	560	27	523
	IELD 4			CREAG	E§	25.9	231.945

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY		RISK AREA 2					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ALFETTA	38	40	52	51	14,269	32	19,039
DELTA	—	35	49	42	8,267	30	9,771
CROMA	_	_	_	56	540	30	6,298
EIFFEL	32	35	48	49	2,998	29	4,217
ECLIPSE	_	_	—	43	568	35	4,195
SCORPIO	32	21	42	49	4,788	27	3,150
MAJORET	37	_	48	44	1,158	32	2,367
CDC MOZART	—	_	—	—	_	38	1,450
NITOUCHE	_	_	_	_	_	44	808
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ίΕ§	31.2	53,596

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 3									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC BARRIE	31	25	37	32	49,573	35	40,109		
AC CADILLAC	—	36	36	31	11,870	32	11,039		
CDC TEAL	30	33	39	31	16,104	36	7,720		

 † Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers $\$ Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 3										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
AC INTREPID	—	—	42	31	8,576	37	7,110			
AC CORA	25	26	38	30	13,806	34	7,062			
AC DOMAIN	25	23	38	32	6,163	37	6,644			
CDC BOUNTY	_	_	—	—	_	37	5,108			
MCKENZIE	—	—	40	30	6,626	37	3,776			
AC MAJESTIC	_	_	38	31	2,307	28	1,851			
5500HR	—	—	—	—	_	40	1,590			
5600HR	_	_	—	26	892	33	1,335			
ALSEN	—	—	—	—	—	40	832			
AC ELSA	_	37	30	40	707	37	767			
AC SPLENDOR	—	—	—	29	814	33	591			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	34.5	100,001			

EXTRA STRONG WHEA	TYIEL	DS BY	VARIE	TY 1998	—2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 3
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
GLENLEA	35	22	38	34	864	32	1,000
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ǧ	31.7	1,000

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS	RISK	AREA 3						
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
CDC HARRIER	_	_	_	46	6,134	36	6,543	
CDC KESTRAL	36	49	53	34	6,694	35	1,972	
CDC FALCON	_	_	_	_	_	30	1,616	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 35.2 10,501								

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET	OAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†]								
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
TRIPLE CROWN	88	60	79	61	10,011	47	16,176		
AC ASSINIBOIA	_	71	73	61	4,682	45	7,902		
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	—	_	62	2,266		
DUMONT	51	37	53	40	3,055	33	2,175		
COMMON	35	54	49	33	1,217	38	1,773		
CDC BOYER	79	71	80	57	1,085	38	1,374		
AC MEDALLION	_	58	65			65	1,294		
DERBY	96	63	107	45	903	45	746		
ROBERT	54	35	53	53	500	43	677		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	45.5	35,991							

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VA		RISK AREA 3							
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC METCALFE	—	—	59	46	15,716	45	17,659		
ROBUST	49	48	58	40	12,933	43	10,453		
CDC STRATUS	49	39	62	50	3,351	49	8,471		
EXCEL	52	48	61	56	10,242	46	7,556		
CDC DOLLY	—	_	61	45	4,595	48	4,953		
AC ROSSER	—	—	56	54	1,624	47	2,155		
AC LACOMBE	47	46	59	41	2,099	51	1,701		
HARRINGTON	47	35	45	53	1,392	46	1,568		
AC OXBOW	47	38	44	35	1,420	32	1,184		
CDC KENDALL	—	_	—	51	660	48	834		
CDC BOLD		_	_	_	_	52	642		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 45.5 60									

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIE		RIS	AREA 3						
	1998 1999 2000 2001 2001								
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
1084	_	_	24	18	1,799	16	5,740		
CDC BETHUNE	—	—	—	22	2,785	23	4,961		
CDC NORMANDY	—	16	21	19	2,390	18	4,039		
TAURUS	—	—	—	22	836	20	3,232		
AC WATSON	_	19	18	18	2,533	24	1,594		
NORLIN	14	12	15	15	2,319	18	1,427		
SOMME	16	11	16	14	700	17	633		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	Ε§	19.0	23,328		

ARGENTINE CANOLA	YIELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	-2002 [†]	RIS	AREA 3
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
INVIGOR 2573 LT	_	_	_	26	6 4 1 0	31	10 439

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only

Management CECECE

YIELD MANITOBA february 2003

ARGENTINE CANOLA YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 ^T RISK A							KAREA 3
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
34-55 RT	—	—	—	25	6,583	30	8,367
45A55 (RT)	—	_	—	25	1,601	26	7,170
46A76 (ST)	—	—	34	26	7,878	28	6,795
45A77 ST	—	_	—	_	_	29	4,409
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	32	32	23	1,888	32	3,113
DS ROUGHRIDER RT	_	_	—	24	2,393	16	2,979
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	24	27	33	—	_	28	2,821
NEX 720	_	_		_	_	23	2,578
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	—	—	27	1,831	30	2,105
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	—	—	_	_	31	1,835
SW RAZOR (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	28	1,739
46A65	25	25	30	21	4,227	27	1,736
45H21 (RT)	—	_	_	_	_	39	1,695
CONQUEST (RT)	_	_	30	26	6,102	21	1,495
EBONY	23	25	32	27	721	31	1,153
PGS 3640 (LT)	—	—	34	_	_	31	1,079
AC EXCEL	20	—	—	14	904	14	902
45A71 (ST)	20	22	25	_	_	17	895
NEX 705	—	—	—	—	_	25	837
SKYHAWK	—	_	—	_	_	23	766
561RR RT	—	—	_	_	_	27	736
HYOLA 401	29	28	32	—	_	18	519
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAC	GE §	27.3	74,228

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY	RISK AREA 3						
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
SWING	_	_	54	37	1,759	37	2,359
MAJORET	36	39	44	36	961	33	1,584
DELTA	_	_	48	34	1,560	35	1,229
EIFFEL	25	—	44	33	651	30	839
CARNEVAL	27	25	41	33	720	21	600
ECLIPSE	—	—	—	—	—	43	507
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	33.4	9.524					

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISH										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
AC BARRIE	37	28	40	34	124,771	36	107,764			
AC DOMAIN	36	33	42	36	29,519	36	21,847			
AC CORA	36	30	42	33	20,859	35	15,269			
MCKENZIE	—	—	43	34	9,072	39	9,364			
AC CADILLAC	—	28	39	33	10,953	35	7,416			
AC MAJESTIC	39	30	38	32	8,940	32	5,007			
CDC BOUNTY	—	_	_	_	_	38	3,797			
PRODIGY	—	—	—	31	1,750	36	2,571			
CDC TEAL	31	32	38	32	4,383	38	1,990			
AC INTREPID	—	—	39	31	3,779	30	944			
KATEPWA	35	28	38	17	1,517	29	725			
ALSEN	—	—		—	—	45	536			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 36.3 179,254										

DURUM WHEAT YIELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998–	-2002 [†]		RIS	AREA 4
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC MORSE	—	38	42	38	2,808	41	4,119
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	41.5	4,716

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK A									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
CDC FALCON	—	—	—	48	649	47	6,894		
CDC HARRIER	—	_	52	51	4,916	49	5,841		
CDC CLAIR	—	60	61	49	6,116	48	1,713		
CDC KESTRAL	49	41	56	49	2,129	51	770		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	47.6	15,378		

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET	RIS	AREA 4					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC ASSINIBOIA	89	71	79	71	9,722	49	17,063
TRIPLE CROWN	76	58	80	63	11,558	43	10,380
AC PINNACLE	_	_	_	_	_	71	3,111

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET		RISK AREA 4					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ROBERT	61	43	57	44	2,296	34	1,765
AC PREAKNESS	64	33	66	67	622	43	1,049
AC RONALD	—	—	—	—	_	62	879
DUMONT	54	32	58		_	27	819
COMMON	31	43	32	—	_	43	768
AC MEDALLION	96	54	77	54	957	44	759
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	47.0	37,784

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK /									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC METCALFE	—	31	64	51	20,771	49	22,334		
CDC STRATUS	57	35	65	61	9,664	59	13,311		
CONLON	—	_	—	_	—	54	2,535		
EXCEL	58	50	63	57	1,690	39	1,955		
AC LACOMBE	56	38	57	52	2,441	39	1,944		
MERIT	—	—	75	73	1,395	53	1,344		
BEDFORD	61	53	45	49	1,844	52	1,246		
CDC KENDALL	—	—	—	—	—	45	858		
CDC FREEDOM	_		_	37	995	6	809		
AC ROSSER	—	—	57	49	824	53	701		
B1602	—	_	—	64	1,035	79	541		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	50.2	75,676		

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
CDC BETHUNE	—	_	—	21	7,876	22	14,386		
1084	—	—	—	—	—	19	3,435		
FLANDERS	17	14	18	20	2,833	16	2,705		
TAURUS	—	—	—	20	2,096	21	2,698		
AC MCDUFF	20	16	18	22	2,988	22	2,140		
NORLIN	21	19	19	18	2,714	19	1,092		
AC EMERSON	18	15	21	22	1,528	23	1,019		
CDC NORMANDY	19	22	19	17	2,249	15	772		
AC CARNDUFF	—	_	—	20	786	18	720		
2047	_	—	—	—	_	30	711		
AC WATSON	—	16	18	_	_	20	505		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE [§] 20.5									

ARGENTINE CANOLA Y	IELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	2002 [†]	RIS	AREA 4
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	_	—	30	12,333	31	20,339
INVIGOR 2573 LT	_		35	32	8,039	33	15,111
46A76 (ST)	_	_	34	29	14,183	28	9,290
45A77 ST	—	—	—	—	—	28	8,891
45A55 (RT)	—	—	—	27	572	29	8,821
34-55 RT	—	—	33	27	12,316	27	6,462
SW RAZOR (RT)	—	—	—	25	2,698	31	5,648
46A65	29	30	29	24	5,458	31	3,422
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	34	31	27	8,709	35	2,809
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	—	—	—	—	31	2,572
NEX 720	—	—	—	_	_	33	2,441
45H21 (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	38	1,679
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	28	31	31	20	570	19	1,486
HYLITE 225RR RT	—	—	—	27	939	19	1,335
PGS 3640 (LT)	—	—	—	_	_	33	1,265
NEX 705	—	—	—	27	1,980	29	1,263
23-38 RT	_	_	_	_	_	26	1,168
CONQUEST (RT)	_		29	27	8,573	30	1,147
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	—	—	24	1,227	24	1,082
CANTERRA 1867 RT	—	—	—	27	816	20	834
45A51 (RT)	30	31	29	22	2,008	25	803
HYOLA 401	33	33	30	25	1,156	28	728
3235 (RT)	—	_	_	_	—	20	714
HYOLA 454RR (RT)	—	—	—	20	556	30	635
45H20 RT	—	_	_	_	—	33	619
799RR RT	—	_	—	—	_	27	579
MILLENNIUM 03	_	_	_	20	754	27	552
SP ADMIRABLE RR RT	—	_	—	—	_	24	540
WEIGHTED AVERAGEY	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	29.6	111,413

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only Management CECECE

I

1

`

(

t

§

١

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA



FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY		RISK AREA 4					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC MOZART	_	_	_	_	_	41	3,044
ALFETTA	—	—	54	36	3,373	38	3,028
SWING	—	—	—	46	1,037	30	2,719
MAJORET	39	29	40	39	1,605	35	2,434
MARCO	_		42	32	1,442	21	950
DS STALWARTH	—	—	—	—	—	37	757
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	34.2	15,539

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 5											
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002^{\ddagger}				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
AC BARRIE	43	40	45	38	176,792	41	132,656				
AC DOMAIN	40	42	47	40	79,986	43	78,560				
AC CADILLAC	—	37	44	37	22,921	36	17,734				
AC MAJESTIC	46	45	47	40	32,569	40	17,465				
CDC BOUNTY	—	—	—	44	1,099	41	11,878				
AC INTREPID	—	—	50	44	8,658	47	6,086				
AC CORA	35	39	41	36	7,932	38	5,577				
AC SUPERB	—	—	—	—	_	53	3,696				
MCKENZIE	48	51	44	35	4,049	40	3,365				
ALSEN	_	—	—	_	_	51	2,462				
5600HR	_			40	3,238	34	1,183				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 41.2 282,915											

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS	5 BY V/		1998 -	- 2002 [†]	2001	RIS	CAREA 5
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC FALCON	_	_	_	63	1,457	64	8,916
CDC CLAIR	_	63	64	55	9,915	50	4,763
CDC HARRIER	_	_	68	55	4,621	57	2,435
CDC KESTRAL	51	68	67	54	3,254	57	1,471
CDC RAPTOR	—	_	—	—	_	56	1,138
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	58.2	18,869

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET	RIS	AREA 5					
Variety	1998 Yield	1999 Yield	2000 Yield	2001 Yield	2001 Acres	2002 Yield	2002 [‡] Acres
AC ASSINIBOIA	94	87	90	80	21,798	62	30,185
TRIPLE CROWN	95	97	95	78	6,797	69	7,820
AC PINNACLE	—			—	_	60	3,114
ROBERT	81	62	84	67	1,964	43	1,559
AC RONALD	_	_	_	_	_	90	1,308
RIEL	73	54	61	50	751	56	1,059
AC PREAKNESS	86	79	74	89	693	44	615
JERRY	94	89	96	71	945	64	606
COMMON	64	47	78	60	779	53	531
WEIGHTED AVERAGE V				CREACE	8	62.1	18 1/5

BARLEY* YIELDS BY V		1998-	-2002†		2004	RIS	KAREA 5
Variety	Yield	Yield	2000 Yield	Yield	Acres	2002 Yield	2002‡ Acres
ROBUST	69	61	71	63	40,552	59	38,482
AC METCALFE	—	55	75	61	9,925	62	17,012
BEDFORD	68	64	69	64	12,087	64	9,125
EXCEL	77	66	74	68	8,432	64	7,564
CDC STRATUS	67	61	66	59	2,359	59	3,702
CDC BOLD	—	—	—	64	1,709	58	2,400
CONLON	—	—	—	—	_	78	2,289
AC BACON	—	_	81	63	3,362	57	2,119
STANDER	73	76	83	59	1,224	69	1,413
VIVAR	—	—	—	—	_	73	1,311
CDC MCGWIRE	_	_	_	_	_	58	917
CDC GAINER	—	_	54	43	915	32	727
NO VAR	_	1	_	_	_	10	666
AC LACOMBE	63	51	64	50	1,064	51	660
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ίΕ§	59.6	93,901

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only Management CECECECE

YIELD MANITOBA february 2003

3

3

2

ARGENTINE CANOLA Y	IELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 5
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002‡
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
45A55 (RT)	_	_	37.7	32.3	8732	32.9	39212
34-55 RT	—	—	36.1	34.9	41049	34.4	38425
46A76 (ST)	_	47.8	37.4	36.6	26767	36.8	21750
45A77 ST	—	_	—	39.8	1333	34.0	20583
INVIGOR 2573 LT	_	_	36.2	35.7	11032	38.2	18324
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	—	—	36.6	8859	38.5	16733
46A65	37.2	40.5	35.8	35.6	20316	37.3	11391
NEX 720	—	_	—	—	_	34.2	10818
INVIGOR 2733 LT	_	_	—	_	—	38.7	10155
45H21 (RT)	_	_	_	_	_	35.3	8677
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	_	40.5	36.3	33.5	4547	37.9	4757
DKL 35-85 (RT)	_	_	—	_	—	39.9	3057
NEX 705	_	_	—	28.4	1987	30.1	2183
EBONY	41.9	43.0	36.6	42.5	2337	39.4	2127
CONQUEST(RT)	_	—	34.0	31.6	16679	28.8	1383
811RR (RT)	_	_	_	_	_	30.2	1371
46A55	_	—	_	_	—	34.1	1260
45A51 (RT)	38.2	37.9	33.4	28.8	7906	36.5	1250
799RR RT	_	_	_	_	—	31.4	1185
HYOLA 401	41.0	37.9	36.2	31.1	1516	30.0	970
45A54 RT	_	_	_	32.4	10816	24.1	794
3235 (RT)	—	40.0	33.3	29.1	4538	33.1	732
MILLENNIUM 03	_	_	_	33.1	950	21.0	610
DS ROUGHRIDER RT	—	—	_	—	—	29.9	612
HYLITE 225RR RT	_	—	_	—	—	33.9	570
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	—	_	_	—	24.6	583
35-25 RT	—	—	—	36.3	1691	32.2	568
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	DTAL A	CREAG	Ε§	35.0	229052

Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers;
On system as of January 10, 2002;
Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table.
Assuming 48 lbs./bu.

Management

 \oplus

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 5											
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
CDC BETHUNE	_	_	23	23	17,124	22	25,331				
AC MCDUFF	24	23	22	22	10,006	20	6,682				
AC EMERSON	21	23	17	22	5,820	21	4,829				
TAURUS	—	—	—	23	631	20	2,675				
AC LINORA	23	26	21	26	1,727	20	2,348				
FLANDERS	21	23	20	21	5,968	20	2,228				
2047	_	_	_	21	522	21	2,173				
CDC NORMANDY	22	22	19	21	3,265	19	2,040				
AC CARNDUFF	_	22	22	23	3,458	20	1,934				
AC WATSON	_	23	25	24	1,445	25	1,027				
NORMAN	21	23	18	20	1,488	19	895				
NORLIN	22	23	19	21	2,647	22	500				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 20.8 53,71											

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA											
	2002	2002 [‡]									
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
CROMA	_	_	51	42	3,433	30	4,101				
SW BRAVO	—	_	—	47	714	34	780				
EIFFEL	_	53	45	28	990	37	677				
COBRA	—	—	53	—	—	35	663				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE [§] 31.8											

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 6											
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
AC BARRIE	37	27	40	34	137,059	40	108,661				
AC DOMAIN	35	31	40	34	39,062	39	42,922				
AC MAJESTIC	37	24	39	34	30,853	36	29,282				
AC CADILLAC	38	34	39	33	18,807	38	16,135				
AC CORA	34	29	43	35	15,667	39	13,550				
AC INTREPID	—	—	45	37	11,884	44	12,230				
CDC TEAL	35	33	37	32	17,173	41	12,193				
CDC BOUNTY	—	—	—	—	—	44	5,538				
MCKENZIE	—	26	45	36	4,970	44	4,741				
AC SUPERB	—	—	—	—	—	42	3,523				
AC SPLENDOR	38	25	38	34	4,310	42	3,256				
AC ELSA	—	33	44	38	1,488	42	2,281				
PRODIGY	—	—	34	32	2,199	46	1,394				
ALSEN	—	—	—	—	—	41	677				
NO VAR	_	0	_	_	_	8	532				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 39.4 258,729											

DURUM WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK ARE									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC MORSE	_	_	47	42	1,225	54	616		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 50.7									

PRAIRIE SPRING WHEA	AT YIEL	.DS BY	VARIE	TY 1998	8—2002 [†]	RIS	AREA 6
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC TABER (HY380)	47	29	46	40	1,943	41	4,272
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	43.7	5.689

FEED WHEAT YIELDS B	RISI	K AREA 6					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
PIONEER 2375	49	_	45	33	1,145	41	1,923
RUSS	—	—	—	50	1,759	53	1,418
FJELD	—	39	42	_	—	30	1,138
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§							4,717

WINTER WHEAT YIE	LDS BY V	ARIETY	/ 1998-	–2002 [†]		RISI	KAREA 6
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC FALCON	—	—	—	—	_	56	7,871
CDC HARRIER	—	—	—	51	3,130	56	4,138
CDC CLAIR	_	65	59	50	5,181	55	2,208
CDC KESTRAL	42	54	57	50	3,454	48	1,138
WEIGHTED AVERAG	SE YIELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	54.9	15,969

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET		RISK AREA 6					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
TRIPLE CROWN	90	67	89	83	18,556	72	28,509
AC ASSINIBOIA	103	77	94	90	3,656	72	9,191
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	—	_	71	3,546
ROBERT	75	55	68	57	1,748	50	2,732
COMMON	_	54	58	33	714	55	1,298
HARMON	_	—	—	—	_	27	623
AC MEDALLION	104	_	71	—	_	46	616
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 68.4							

1

(

(

;

(

, , , , ,

t

§

١

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 6										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
AC METCALFE	62	36	59	51	14,950	56	22,431			
ROBUST	64	46	58	48	35,357	54	21,942			
CDC STRATUS	_	46	69	54	8,547	58	12,035			
EXCEL	65	50	59	51	9,480	57	7,752			
AC ROSSER	_	—	73	66	2,700	69	4,741			
B1602	73	_	62	57	5,219	66	4,139			
CDC KENDALL	_	—	_	59	4,464	56	3,873			
CDC DOLLY		75	73	60	1,704	56	3,070			
AC LACOMBE	64	44	54	46	1,818	50	2,081			
CDC GAINER	—	—	60	51	2,231	58	1,159			
AC OXBOW	58	38	53	33	672	38	1,003			
HARRINGTON	51	44	60	51	1,075	56	770			
CDC BOLD	_	—	_	56	1,719	71	667			
COMMON	—	—	—	—	—	32	607			
CONLON	_	_	_	_	_	65	515			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	56.3	92.360			

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK A										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
CDC BETHUNE	_	_	_	21	9,701	23	21,698			
CDC NORMANDY	22	16	19	20	4,024	20	5,252			
AC CARNDUFF	_	_	_	22	2,796	23	5,230			
NORLIN	22	16	18	19	6,827	23	4,487			
TAURUS			—	23	1,374	24	4,110			
1084	_	—	—	—	_	21	3,897			
FLANDERS	22	14	18	21	7,278	19	2,802			
AC MCDUFF	20	6	21	24	860	19	1,628			
AC WATSON	_		16	22	1,401	21	1,351			
989	—	—	—	—	_	28	773			
SOMME	17	9	—	15	782	13	698			
CDC VALOUR	—	_	_	15	887	19	676			
M5791	_		_	_	_	22	562			
AC EMERSON	20	15	17	15	1,045	14	550			
AC LINORA	20	15		_	_	11	524			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE [§] 21.8 5										

ARGENTINE CANOLA	(IELDS 1998	BY VA 1999	RIETY 2000	1998 —	2002[†] 2001	RISP 2002	AREA 6 2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
46A76 (ST)	—	—	34	30	37,614	32	36,668
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	—	—	30	20,763	36	36,137
45A77 ST	—	—	—	—	_	33	19,012
34-55 RT	—	—	33	29	15,826	34	17,060
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	_	35	32	8,244	30	15,492
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	—	—	—	—	35	10,189
45A55 (RT)	—	_	_	18	1,691	31	8,244
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	—	—	24	2,248	27	5,124
HYOLA 401	33	27	31	28	2,640	30	4,894
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	29	31	27	9,208	33	3,885
46A65	32	29	30	24	5,839	30	3,633
35-25 RT	—	—	34	26	4,720	32	3,189
NEX 705	—	_	36	26	3,850	29	3,144
45A71 (ST)	28	27	26	21	2,580	30	2,494
NEX 715	—	_	_	—	_	30	2,394
HYLITE 225RR RT	—	—	—	25	3,922	31	2,252
3235 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	31	1,830
23-38 RT	—	—	—	—	—	30	1,798
SW RAZOR (RT)	_	_	23	28	793	38	1,572
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	33	27	29	20	528	33	1,541
MILLENNIUM 03	_	_	_	23	3,477	28	1,317
45H21 (RT)	_		—	_	_	36	1,218

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only

Management CECECECE

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA

2 2

> 1 2)))

)

5

7 5)

L

2

3

ARGENTINE CANOLA YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 6												
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]					
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres					
IMC 302	_	_	—	—	_	25	1,215					
HYOLA 454RR (RT)	—	—	—	29	690	31	1,163					
561RR RT	—	—	—	—	_	38	1,157					
SP ADMIRABLE RR RT	—	—	—	—	_	31	1,134					
SW WARRIOR (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	32	1,119					
AC EXCEL	20	7	—	—	—	17	1,080					
DKL 35-85 (RT)	—	—	_	—	_	41	1,075					
799RR RT	—	—	—	—	_	35	948					
LG 3445	—	—	—	—	—	38	848					
45A54 RT	—	—		24	7,081	26	775					
SW ARROW (RT)	28	24	27	21	5,187	32	773					
CONQUEST (RT)	—	—	—	25	11,846	28	733					
SP BANNER (RT)	—	—	—	—		38	597					
BEACON	23	16	17	14	754	12	591					
NEX 720	—	—	—	—	—	38	565					
NO VAR	—	0	—	—	—	31	505					
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	32.5	207,302					

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISI										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
SWING	_	42	47	38	3,731	41	7,716			
EIFFEL	37	31	45	34	1,448	37	3,727			
CDC MOZART	_	—	—	—	—	43	2,525			
DELTA	—	43	44	35	3,387	40	2,289			
ALFETTA	—	—	—	39	1,679	34	2,209			
MAJORET	39	31	43	31	1,683	40	1,908			
CROMA	_	—	—	48	747	48	923			
TOLEDO	—	_	_	29	538	38	865			
ECLIPSE	_	—	—	—	—	45	776			
CARRERA	32	37	31	39	1,102	39	600			
ESPACE	_	_	—	_	_	36	505			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	39.6	25,314			

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 ^T RISK AREA 7												
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]					
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres					
AC BARRIE	36	37	39	38	49,702	42	46,403					
AC DOMAIN	33	37	39	40	26,273	42	27,637					
CDC TEAL	35	41	40	39	22,135	42	14,127					
AC INTREPID	—	—	45	40	12,292	44	12,146					
AC CADILLAC	_	41	39	36	3,782	36	3,042					
AC ELSA	44	42	40	35	3,585	32	2,581					
AC SPLENDOR	36	42	39	35	1,384	37	2,530					
CDC BOUNTY	—	—	—	—	_	42	2,072					
MCKENZIE	_	25	36	34	2,171	40	1,837					
AC SUPERB	—	_	—	—	_	56	1,247					
5500HR	—	—	_	—	_	45	1,006					
AC MAJESTIC	—	—	42	—	—	47	540					
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 41.7 117,181												

PRAIRIE SPRING WHE	AT YIEL	DS BY	VARIE	TY 199	8—2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 7
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC CRYSTAL	56	28	59	—	_	43	898
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	46.1	1,243

WINTER WHEAT YIELD	S BY V	ARIETY	′ 1998–	–2002 [†]		RISK	AREA 7
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC FALCON	—	_	_	63	784	63	5,045
CDC CLAIR	—	67	63	60	2,627	56	1,660
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 60.4							

OAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISH									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
TRIPLE CROWN	—	77	82	81	4,519	78	9,485		
AC ASSINIBOIA	90	95	84	88	1,642	61	3,315		
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	—	_	78	2,516		
CDC BOYER	_	81	88	76	1,350	74	1,664		
DERBY	63	48	75	_	_	61	1,365		
COMMON	_	52	70	71	784	45	1,077		
DUMONT	58	_	50	61	750	60	1,047		
ROBERT	72	62	79	63	1,192	55	799		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	69.9	21,959		

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers
 § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISI									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC METCALFE	_	51	56	58	7,052	56	12,724		
EXCEL	66	59	64	59	20,485	61	12,064		
CDC DOLLY	—	53	63	58	5,420	55	5,212		
CDC STRATUS	56	44	—	54	858	58	4,880		
ROBUST	54	58	60	59	4,148	57	4,298		
HARRINGTON	58	46	61	58	2,352	62	2,088		
AC LACOMBE	61	57	54	54	2,423	47	2,022		
CDC BOLD	—	—	—	66	707	58	1,227		
AC OXBOW	59	40	49	52	1,906	46	1,216		
AC ROSSER	—	—	—	61	698	23	779		
AC RANGER	—	_	—	_	_	66	741		
CDC SISLER	—	—	—	—	_	57	525		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	56.6	50,021		

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIE		RISK AREA 7					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
TAURUS	—	_	_	27	545	27	2,296
1084	_	_	_	_	_	24	2,116
CDC BETHUNE	—	_	—	25	868	27	2,021
AC CARNDUFF	_		_	_	_	17	1,738
SOMME	17	20	20	—	_	20	1,309
M5791	_		_	_	_	25	1,238
NORLIN	19	17	16	23	2,135	19	909
WEIGHTED AVERAGEY	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	23.0	13,020

ARGENTINE CANOLA	/IELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	-2002 [†]	RIS	KAREA 7
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
46A76 (ST)	—	_	32	30	20,808	36	22,653
45A77 ST	_	—	_	—	_	33	10,424
45A55 (RT)	—	—	—	27	4,180	33	10,139
34-55 RT	—	_	—	30	7,784	34	7,341
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	—	—	—		38	4,432
INVIGOR 2663 LT	_	_	_	33	2,767	39	3,585
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	—	_	33	2,319	39	3,530
NEX 705	—	_	_	28	1,410	35	2,743
45H21 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	37	2,681
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	37	31	28	1,220	36	2,628
MILLENNIUM 03	—	—	28	24	2,288	35	2,465
35-25 RT	—	_	—	25	3,630	31	2,201
46A65	31	33	34	26	2,085	32	1,632
561RR RT	—	_	—	_	_	30	1,629
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	—	—	31	1,077	31	1,381
NEX 715	—	—	—	_	_	36	1,342
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	32	35	—	24	750	26	1,338
EBONY	26	27	32	22	1,296	34	1,325
CONQUEST (RT)	—	—	31	24	6,861	35	938
3235 (RT)	—	_	—	_	_	27	879
SW ARROW (RT)	28	30	30	28	1,503	32	656
DKL 3311	_	_	31	_	_	23	629
DKL 35-85 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	32	598
RR CHAMPION RT	—	—	—	_	_	27	563
46A73 (ST)	—	32	33	26	810	28	535
HYOLA 454RR (RT)	—	—	—	_	_	35	526
HYLITE 225RR RT	_	—	—	—	_	32	507
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	θE§	34.3	93,265

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY		RISK AREA 7					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
SWING	_	29	46	37	2,510	40	4,288
MAJORET	39	39	39	34	2,302	41	2,617
CROMA	_		_	44	945	22	2,017
DELTA	—	32	43	37	2,150	40	1,819
TOLEDO	_	_	_		_	41	1,528
CDC MOZART	_	_	_	—	_	41	779
ECLIPSE	—	—	—	—	_	46	769
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	37.0	16,668

RED SPRING WHEAT YI	ELDS	BY VAF	RIETY 1	998—2	: 002 †	RIS	AREA 8
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC DOMAIN	36	49	50	43	79,780	35	73,051
AC SPLENDOR	43	52	51	44	31,793	41	23,731
AC INTREPID	_	_	52	46	18,618	38	21,409
AC BARRIE	40	48	44	43	15,466	31	10,304
Variety AC DOMAIN AC SPLENDOR AC INTREPID AC BARRIE	Yield 36 43 — 40	Yield 49 52 — 48	Yield 50 51 52 44	Yield 43 44 46 43	Acres 79,780 31,793 18,618 15,466	Yield 35 41 38 31	Acres 73,051 23,731 21,409 10,304

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only Management CECECECE

YIELD MANITOBA february 2003

(

1

I.

4 | | |

§

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
CDC TEAL	36	45	46	41	9,507	36	9,305			
CDC BOUNTY	—	—	—	—	—	32	2,806			
KATEPWA	26	37	35	33	3,568	31	2,063			
MCKENZIE	—	54	52	44	3,182	42	1,496			
PRODIGY	_	_	—	25	1,395	41	1,371			
AC SUPERB	—	—	—	—	_	43	1,157			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	36.3	148,023			

EXTRA STRONG WHEA	TYIEL	DS BY	VARIE	TY 1998	—2002 [†]	RIS	AREA 8
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
LASER	_	55	53	49	5,526	31	5,108
WILDCAT	45	50	51	40	1,897	27	1,735
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	29.8	7,345

PRAIRIE SPRING WHE	T YIEL	DS BY	VARIE	TY 1998	8—2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 8
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC TABER	51	64	62	62	3,492	38	10,851
AC CRYSTAL	52	61	62	54	3,198	41	3,807
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	38.8	14,808

WINTER WHEAT YIELD	S BY V	ARIETY	′ 1998–	-2002		RIS	AREA 8
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC HARRIER	_	_	_	46	637	40	1,683
CDC FALCON	_	_	—	_	_	45	1,328
CDC KESTRAL	18	61	67	—	_	43	1,006
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	<u>Ş</u>	42.4	4,387

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET		RISK AREA 8					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002+
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
TRIPLE CROWN	_	_	81	87	2,924	35	6,589
DUMONT	41	62	57	42	1,193	23	1,784
CDC BOYER	—	86	76	32	609	22	1,514
AC ASSINIBOIA	—	91	89	79	2,176	49	1,369
ROBERT	52	72	69	30	1,065	21	848
AC PREAKNESS	73	71	71	82	574	23	735
RIEL	41	63	81	70	610	42	718
DERBY	59	62	67	71	562	30	672
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ίΕ§	32.9	15,355

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VA		RIS	AREA 8				
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ROBUST	50	69	73	66	10,960	44	12,729
CDC BOLD	—	—	—	79	685	51	2,767
EXCEL	59	79	74	67	2,768	46	1,757
AC LACOMBE	36	66	56	51	880	39	1,412
STANDER	52	65	59	66	712	40	1,316
AC METCALFE	—	—	—	—	—	46	1,181
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	43.9	23,270

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 8									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
CDC BETHUNE	—	—	—	33	627	16	1,116		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 15.8 1									

ARGENTINE CANOLA Y	IELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	2002 [†]	RISH	KAREA 8
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002+
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	—	41	36	11,852	34	20,677
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	—	—	—	—	33	16,048
45A55 (RT)	_	_	_	_	_	27	16,043
46A76 (ST)	—	—	36	33	29,419	30	14,688
45A77 ST	_	_	—	_	_	34	14,029
HYOLA 401	30	38	39	34	8,707	27	5,951
34-55 RT	—	_	41	34	7,949	31	5,519
45H21 (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	33	3,661
CONQUEST (RT)	_	_	35	34	17,886	33	2,982

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

ARGENTINE CANOLA	ARGENTINE CANOLA YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 8										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	26	32	31	24	2,919	26	1,820				
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	_	37	36	37	1,904	32	1,777				
SW RIDER (RT)	—	—	36	35	3,596	28	1,732				
HYOLA 454RR (RT)	_	_	34	36	559	27	1,644				
3235 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	27	1,638				
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	_	_	—	30	3,493	33	1,334				
23-38 RT	—	—	—	—	—	24	1,240				
HYLITE 225RR RT	—	—	_	29	1,824	31	1,038				
CANTERRA 1867 RT	—	—	—	33	2,101	27	948				
SW RAZOR (RT)	_	_	—	—	_	31	634				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	€E§	30.7	120,951				

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY	RIS	AREA 8					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CROMA	_	_	52	45	1,432	9	2,408
CDC MOZART	—	_	_	—	—	8	953
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	10.1	4,209					

RED SPRING WHEAT Y	ELDS I	BY VAF		998—2	:002 [†]	RISI	K AREA 9
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC DOMAIN	33	40	41	38	100,877	41	87,787
AC BARRIE	39	39	41	34	89,985	38	67,954
AC INTREPID	_	53	44	41	43,682	40	35,968
CDC TEAL	33	41	40	39	20,382	42	16,213
CDC BOUNTY	—	—	—	46	868	40	12,161
AC SPLENDOR	41	53	48	37	12,891	33	7,867
AC ELSA	37	44	43	37	10,134	40	7,494
AC CADILLAC	—	46	47	41	9,396	41	5,432
PRODIGY	—	—	_	40	3,023	41	5,190
600HR	—	—	—	38	615	37	2,350
ALSEN	—	—	_	_	_	45	1,598
AC SUPERB	—	—	—	—	_	46	1,403
AC CORA	44	21	41	—	_	32	1,197
NO VAR	—	2	—	—	—	39	1,007
5500HR	—	—	_	—	_	39	790
KATEPWA	34	30	39	32	641	31	640
MCKENZIE	_	50	40	42	1,225	44	620
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	39.7	256,635

DURUM WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 9									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
KYLE	44	44	_	—		44	1,140		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 44.9 1,14									

EXTRA STRONG WHEA		DS BY	VARIE	TY 1998	3—2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 9
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002‡
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
GLENLEA	39	43	43	41	3,379	40	1,493
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 43.7 2,612							

PRAIRIE SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK ARE								
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002^{\ddagger}	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
OSLO	60	72	55	63	1,235	48	1,642	
AC VISTA	_	—	—	—	_	57	1,132	
AC TABER	50	52	52	50	954	47	954	
AC CRYSTAL	50	37	48	34	672	45	540	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	50	4,442	

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 9										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
CDC FALCON	_	_	_	_	_	46	3,373			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y				PEAG	= 8	11 9	4 550			
MEIOTHED ATENAOL I			IAL A	GREAG		41.0	4,550			
				SKLAG		41.0	4,550			
OATS YIELDS BY VARIE	TY 199)8—20()2 [†]	GREAG	_3	RISK	4,550			
OATS YIELDS BY VARIE	TY 19 9 1998	9 8—20 0 1999	2000	2001	2001	RISK 2002	AREA 9 2002 [‡]			
OATS YIELDS BY VARIE	TY 199 1998 Yield	9 8—200 1999 Yield	2000 Yield	2001 Yield	2001 Acres	RISK 2002 Yield	AREA 9 2002 [‡] Acres			

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only

Management Plus

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA

3__

Ĵ



OAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 9											
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
AC ASSINIBOIA	94	87	87	78	9,771	60	15,626				
ROBERT	70	75	67	58	8,396	39	6,307				
DERBY	65	72	73	67	3,927	44	3,843				
COMMON	55	69	62	50	2,481	39	3,053				
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	—	—	70	2,321				
AC PREAKNESS	77	93	75	53	1,357	51	1,598				
AC RONALD	—	—	—	—	—	61	1,093				
NO VAR	—	5	_	—	—	49	843				
RIEL	61	53	84	_	_	20	777				
JERRY	59	65	75	—	_	19	665				
DUMONT	58	53	49	50	575	26	547				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	50.4	74,778				

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK A									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 ⁺		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
ROBUST	60	60	66	58	32,935	47	29,930		
EXCEL	65	68	66	61	14,700	57	12,531		
CDC STRATUS	_	49	60	67	3,677	63	8,525		
AC METCALFE	_	—	69	66	4,459	61	7,596		
STANDER	59	62	67	61	5,158	51	6,007		
VIRDEN	67	61	69	45	3,518	8	2,996		
AC OXBOW	55	62	47	57	4,243	40	2,920		
AC LACOMBE	50	55	57	64	2,230	56	2,748		
STANDARD	—	61	64	57	1,257	59	2,330		
CHAPAIS	_	—	—	_	_	57	1,740		
CDC DOLLY		_		69	1,122	46	1,599		
B1602	65	53	63	60	2,690	55	1,157		
BEDFORD	47	45	59	43	1,346	36	1,041		
AC ROSSER	_	—	_	59	565	49	748		
CDC BOLD	—	—	_	49	1,147	59	738		
SOMERVILLE	_	—	_	_	_	9	657		
COMMON	_	_	_	48	520	23	504		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	50.5	87.821		

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
CDC BETHUNE	—	—	—	20	1,029	23	6,135			
TAURUS	—	—	27	25	3,209	22	3,037			
LINOTT	20	10	17	15	3,025	17	2,096			
1084	—	—	—	—	_	17	1,839			
AC EMERSON	20	18	20	21	3,262	18	1,785			
CDC NORMANDY	21	21	19	20	4,612	18	1,284			
SOMME	20	17	16	20	2,210	22	1,137			
HALA	_	_	_	_	_	20	747			
AC WATSON	_	21	20	_	_	22	673			
NORMAN	18	15	16	19	679	17	643			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	εş	19.8	21,550			

ARGENTINE CANOLA Y	IELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 9
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 ⁺
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	—	35	32	22,159	33	30,404
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	—				33	22,736
34-55 RT	_	_	33	30	13,988	30	22,382
46A76 (ST)	—	—	35	29	28,244	31	17,045
45A55 (RT)	_	_	_	25	1,764	28	16,474
45A77 ST	—	—	—	—	—	30	13,950
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	28	33	29	27	5,117	29	10,609
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	35	31	31	4,389	29	8,675
46A65	25	34	30	31	5,937	28	7,137
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	—	—	36	10,837	39	6,874
SW RIDER (RT)	_	_	_	30	1,908	30	4,019
EBONY	26	40	33	35	2,823	36	3,891
HYOLA 401	29	34	30	32	4,620	27	3,873
45H21 (RT)	—	_	_	—	_	30	3,657
SW RAZOR (RT)	_	_	_	_	_	28	3,499
NEX 715	—	_	—	—	_	25	3,355
NEX 705				29	2,741	24	2,843

Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers
 Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only Management CECECECE

YIELD MANITOBA february 2003

|
(

• • • •

4

1

1

Ì

ŝ

ARGENTINE CANOLA YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 9										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
MILLENNIUM 03	—	—	—	25	5,008	24	2,748			
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	—	_	30	3,272	28	2,444			
NO VAR	_	0	—	27	1,831	24	2,110			
799RR RT	_	_	_	_	_	29	1,554			
PGS 3640 (LT)	—	—	—	—	_	35	1,360			
CONQUEST (RT)	_	_	30	29	14,660	28	1,140			
HYOLA 454RR (RT)	—	—	32	31	1,733	30	1,139			
CANTERRA 1867 RT	—	—	30	29	1,645	29	1,130			
561RR RT	_	_	—	—	_	20	996			
Q 2	_	—	28	_	_	30	791			
1812 RT	—	—	—	—	_	32	728			
45H20 RT	_	—	_	_	_	30	691			
QUANTUM	21	29	26	21	788	22	685			
3235 (RT)	—	—	_	_	_	26	671			
3345 (RT)	—	—	_	—	_	35	616			
JEWEL	26	25	37	—	_	32	516			
45A51 (RT)	31	30	30	27	7,743	24	507			
EAGLE	20	31	25	28	877	36	505			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	€§	30.5	214,455			

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 9										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
DELTA	—	45	54	41	2,377	38	2,545			
CROMA	—	—	—	—	_	34	1,379			
COURIER (MAPLE)	—	—	—	—	_	23	503			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ε§	33	7,622			

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 10										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
AC BARRIE	41	38	46	28	48,876	40	37,713			
AC MAJESTIC	39	26	40	25	2,765	35	2,380			
AC CORA	36	27	41	28	5,962	35	2,290			
AC DOMAIN	35	34	40	23	2,300	30	1,977			
ALSEN	_	—	—	_	_	44	860			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	38.7	46.805			

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS	S BY V	ARIETY	′ 1998-	–2002 [†]		RISK	AREA 10
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC FALCON	—	—	_	66	5,463	48	5,702
CDC CLAIR	—	60	56	38	4,874	38	1,735
CDC HARRIER	_	_	—	37	1,149	43	735
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	44.8	9,002

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET	RISK	AREA 10					
Variety	1998 Yield	1999 Yield	2000 Yield	2001 Yield	2001 Acres	2002 Yield	2002⁺ Acres
AC ASSINIBOIA	96	84	83	60	16,072	70	25,031
AC PINNACLE	_	_	_	102	530	74	7,050
TRIPLE CROWN	91	95	86	53	8,534	58	6,646
RIEL	87	62	87	53	5,228	82	3,686
JERRY	85	88	88	52	1,090	23	1,533
ROBERT	59	44	57	41	1,025	32	1,105
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ε§	65.8	47,235

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VA	ARIETY 1998	′ 1998– 1999	-2002 [†] 2000	2001	2001	RISK 2002	AREA 10 2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ROBUST	54	57	66	42	7,531	52	8,641
EXCEL	62	56	62	24	2,678	45	4,208
CDC STRATUS	_	48	71	22	5,094	58	2,396
AC METCALFE	_	_	_	29	1,036	46	1,134
BEDFORD	45	41	73	25	3,120	44	1,101
B1602	_	_	_	_	_	59	659
STANDARD	34	30	40		_	32	525
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	48.7	20,748

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA										
		1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
	Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
	CDC BETHUNE	—	_	_	11	1,555	18	578		
1	WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	18.9	1,848		

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers
 § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

ARGENTINE CANOLA YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 10										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	—		30	5,715	38	11,892			
34-55 RT	—	—	27	24	5,077	34	4,719			
45A77 ST	_	—	—	—	_	34	3,086			
INVIGOR 2733 LT	_	—	—	_	_	35	2,234			
45A55 (RT)	—	—	—	18	640	31	2,215			
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	—	31	27	2,259	33	2,081			
NEX 720	—	—	—	—	_	31	1,061			
46A76 (ST)	—	—	34	23	6,024	35	1,044			
HYLITE 225RR RT	—	—	—	—	_	33	769			
45H21 (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	33	679			
3235 (RT)				_	_	31	544			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 34.4 37,029										

4

(

(

£

RED SPRING WHEAT YI	ELDS	BY VAF		998—2	2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 11
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC BARRIE	45	46	48	29	164,214	43	113,339
AC DOMAIN	41	42	46	28	9,348	39	10,203
AC CORA	41	40	43	26	6,974	38	6,229
AC MAJESTIC	47	46	49	25	11,645	40	5,247
ALSEN	_	—	—	—	_	50	4,472
AC SUPERB	—	—	—	—	_	53	4,449
CDC BOUNTY	—	—	—	—	_	35	1,669
AC INTREPID	_	—	58	32	5,003	37	1,453
MCKENZIE		55	44	27	2,832	39	1,262
5600HR	_	_	_	30	1,035	39	1,066
KATEPWA	39	38	34	21	746	32	1,016
AC CADILLAC	—	—	49	24	2,347	32	711
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	θE§	42.3	152,242

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS	S BY V	ARIETY	′ 1998-	-2002 [†]		RISK	AREA 11
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002+
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC FALCON	_	_	_	_	_	65	11,643
CDC CLAIR	55	64	69	54	7,774	57	1,345
CDC KESTRAL	50	61	68	57	1,701	59	830
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	63.5	14,150

OAT YIELDS BY VARIE	RISK AREA 11						
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC ASSINIBOIA	109	101	96	76	24,031	78	39,322
TRIPLE CROWN	_	116	104	67	6,191	56	5,585
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	73	507	61	4,187
RIEL	94	85	92	55	3,625	67	3,321
ROBERT	77	69	71	51	2,635	65	1,419
AC RONALD	—	—	—	—	—	113	989
AC PREAKNESS	83	57	70	—	_	29	593
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	73.3	56,633

BARLEY* YIELDS BY V	ARIETY 1998	7 1998- 1999	– 2002 † 2000	2001	2001	RISK	AREA 11 2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ROBUST	63	64	64	44	18,060	58	19,206
CDC STRATUS	78	72	72	41	16,326	59	15,574
AC METCALFE	_	_	63	34	5,963	53	7,488
EXCEL	72	66	66	42	4,198	38	4,697
BEDFORD	56	65	64	42	1,851	61	2,659
CDC DOLLY	_	—	89	44	1,405	73	1,415
AC LACOMBE	63	50	41	30	641	51	1,110
CDC MCGWIRE	_	_	_	—	_	63	914
STANDER	66	73	66	40	1,898	53	779
COMMON	_	—	—	—	_	48	523
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	εŝ	54.5	59,018

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 11										
	2002	2002^{\ddagger}								
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
CDC BETHUNE	—	—	19	20	4,051	22	5,698			
TAURUS	—	—	—	18	1,624	17	2,947			
NORLIN	19	22	18	15	3,021	19	1,835			
AC LINORA	21	24	18	18	1,591	22	1,130			
2047	—	—	—	—	_	18	883			
AC EMERSON	20	22	17	16	1,472	24	694			
1084	—	—	—	—	_	17	549			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 19.8										

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only Management

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA

3)

5

)

)_

3

3_

3 7

1

1____

ARGENTINE CANOLAY	IELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 11										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]										
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres										
INVIGOR 2663 LT	_			32	17,251	37	29,101										
34-55 RT	—	—	30	27	13,551	34	15,537										
INVIGOR 2573 LT	_	_	39	28	9,702	34	13,132										
45A77 ST	—	—	—	—	_	34	10,070										
46A76 (ST)	_	_	33	29	15,075	28	10,067										
45A55 (RT)	—	—	—	30	2,397	31	6,841										
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	_	38	31	25	3,881	31	5,972										
45H21 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	34	4,291										
INVIGOR 2733 LT	_	_	_	_		39	3,938										
NEX 705	—	—	—	25	2,812	30	2,590										
NEX 720	_	_	_	33	678	27	2,428										
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	37	33	30	—	_	6	2,189										
46A65	32	38	26	26	4,111	36	2,066										
HYOLA 401	36	37	32	26	1,413	32	1,795										
EBONY	32	37	34	—	_	33	1,677										
HYLITE 225RR RT	—	—	—	—	—	34	1,420										
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	_	_	—	_	_	25	966										
SP BANNER (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	33	930										
NEX 715	_	_	—	—	_	28	873										
CONQUEST (RT)	—	—	30	25	3,293	23	811										
SP ADMIRABLE RR RT	_	_	_	_		23	780										
SW GLADIATORR RT	—	—	—	—	_	32	766										
MILLENNIUM 03	_	_	_	28	761	27	635										
Q 2	—	37	—	—	—	18	625										
35-25 RT	_	_	_	26	647	35	603										
3345 (RT)	—	—	—	25	905	39	570										
561RR RT	_	_	_	_	_	23	567										
INVIGOR 2673 LT		_	—	_	—	36	550										
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§ 32.5 131,859											

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 ^T RISK AREA 11										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
DS-ADMIRAL	_	_		_		36	917			
CROMA	—	—	—	27	694	41	857			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE [§] 37.7										

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 12											
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
AC BARRIE	42	49	42	27	605,086	40	420,005				
AC DOMAIN	36	47	44	35	48,432	42	38,318				
AC MAJESTIC	41	47	44	26	35,846	41	18,257				
ALSEN	—	—	—	—	_	46	12,400				
AC SUPERB	—	—	—	—	_	47	6,738				
CDC BOUNTY	_	_	_	31	1,820	41	5,566				
AC CORA	39	45	42	27	12,705	38	4,994				
MCKENZIE	37	39	49	27	4,992	47	3,934				
AC CADILLAC		_	42	23	10,288	39	2,267				
AC INTREPID	—	—	48	38	2,424	46	2,007				
5600HR	—	—	—	32	3,746	47	1,902				
ROBLIN	30	43	21	—	_	45	1,475				
NO VAR	_	_	_	_	_	45	1,432				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE \$ 40.3 520,75											

FEED WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 12											
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
AC SNOWBIRD**	_	_	_	24	6,460	49	1,744				
IVAN	—	—	—	—	—	55	1,396				
GUNNER	_	_	_	16	955	33	1,064				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	46.8	5.650				

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS	BY V	ARIETY	′ 1998-	-2002 [†]		RISK	AREA 12
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC FALCON	_	_	_	50	1,488	65	19,351
CDC CLAIR	58	70	70	50	10,012	57	18,332
CDC KESTRAL	54	69	67	36	2,420	55	6,039
CDC HARRIER	—	—	69	52	852	53	1,830
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	59.9	46,033

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET	RISK	AREA 12					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002^{\ddagger}
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC ASSINIBOIA	109	112	97	65	118,471	80	207,735
TRIPLE CROWN	113	109	101	52	92,629	79	56,725
RIEL	91	105	87	54	28,409	64	26,924

 † Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers $\$ Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

OAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AF								
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	76	2,341	79	15,302	
AC RONALD	—	—	_	—	_	87	10,737	
JERRY	96	105	75	62	5,989	81	5,806	
COMMON	—	—	—	—	—	90	2,408	
ROBERT	84	93	78	67	2,350	73	1,497	
AC PREAKNESS	98	99	94	49	1,005	82	532	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	78.5	328,479	

1

ג ג ו

1

(

t

§

÷

BARLEY* YIELDS BY V	RISK	AREA 12					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ROBUST	59	73	65	41	49,967	56	30,905
CDC STRATUS	62	78	64	35	22,137	54	23,971
AC METCALFE		80	65	33	12,879	53	15,534
STANDER	65	81	59	35	6,714	53	6,634
STANDARD	57	83	57	28	1,774	59	3,714
BEDFORD	61	80	59	28	3,114	57	2,770
CDC MCGWIRE		_	_		_	38	2,156
EXCEL	70	77	73	50	2,624	73	1,617
AC ROSSER		76	63	45	1,680	58	1,474
CONLON	_	_	_	_	—	59	1,048
VIVAR	—	—	—	—	_	64	945
CDC THOMPSON	_	_	52	40	3,954	48	910
AC LACOMBE	60	60		—	_	68	754
CDC BOLD	—	—	—	23	1,054	43	563
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ε§	55.0	95,458

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 12								
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
CDC BETHUNE	_	_	20	12	26,022	24	39,325	
AC EMERSON	21	24	14	12	22,492	23	16,259	
NORLIN	20	23	16	11	16,248	18	8,684	
TAURUS	—	—	_	14	4,738	23	7,627	
AC MCDUFF	22	26	19	12	11,594	22	5,494	
AC CARNDUFF	—	31	15	12	10,532	24	4,829	
FLANDERS	22	24	18	10	4,872	21	3,974	
NORMAN	21	23	19	12	5,173	21	2,977	
AC LINORA	21	25	17	11	6,391	21	2,732	
CDC NORMANDY	20	25	17	9	4,962	24	1,827	
AC WATSON	—	23	16	12	2,503	26	1,530	
1084	—	—	—	—	—	20	1,429	
2047	—	_	_		_	21	999	
MCGREGOR	22	22	13	10	1,049	24	987	
OMEGA	20	_	_		_	21	850	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ίΕ§	2.7	100,195	

ARGENTINE CANOLA Y		BY VA		1998—2	002 [†]		REA 12
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
45A77 ST	—	—	—	—	—	33	51,845
34-55 RT	—	—	23	24	45,777	31	39,761
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	_	—	25	21,258	37	38,219
INVIGOR 2733 LT	—	—	_	22	1,870	34	36,445
45A55 (RT)	—	_	_	21	6,242	28	25,638
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	—	29	24	15,789	36	24,680
46A76 (ST)	—	—	27	20	43,045	34	22,692
46A65	34	38	25	21	26,192	32	18,854
NEX 720	—	—	—	19	1,778	34	15,844
HYOLA 401	35	38	24	22	9,580	32	15,032
45H21 (RT)	—	_	—	—	_	31	13,437
NEX 705	—	—	—	22	12,086	34	12,050
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	40	23	25	5,837	34	9,711
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	—	—	22	2,302	30	4,584
EBONY	33	38	24	22	3,860	35	3,973
HYLITE 225RR RT	—	—	—	17	903	29	3,294
HYOLA 454RR (RT)	—	—	—	18	832	28	2,501
MILLENNIUM 03	—	—	—	21	5,741	27	2,134
DKL 3311	—	—	20	—	_	29	2,129
799RR RT	—	—	—	29	740	32	2,105
561RR RT	—	_	—	23	2,514	32	1,931
DKL 35-85 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	35	1,926
IMC 302	—	—	—	—	—	27	1,582
CONQUEST (RT)	—	—	29	21	29,837	28	1,467
TRAILBLAZER	31	37	20	_	_	14	1,010
811RR (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	30	982
SW RAZOR (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	30	975
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	35	37	16	27	1,103	39	900
FOREMOST	_		16	13	507	32	898

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only

Management

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA

3

7

)

3

5

2

ARGENTINE CANOLA Y	IELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998–	-2002 [†]	RISK	AREA 12
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
46A55	—	—	26	25	832	27	875
LBD 612RR (RT)	_	—	_	_	_	30	869
35-25 RT	—	—	31	26	3,649	39	864
SP ADMIRABLE RR RT	_	_	_	_	—	20	739
NEX 715	—	—	—	—	_	27	725
3235 (RT)	—	_	_		_	34	696
RR CHAMPION RT	—	—	—	—	—	23	642
45A54 RT	—	_	—	22	6,216	20	622
3345 (RT)	—	—	_	—	_	37	615
HYOLA 440	—	—	_	_	_	33	598
INVIGOR 2673 LT	—	—	_	—	_	44	550
Q 2		_			_	20	549
NO VAR	—	—	—	—	_	13	547
23-38 RT	_	_	_	_	_	34	512
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAC	SE §	32.5	374,093

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998–2002 [†] RISK									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002^{\ddagger}		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
CROMA	_	_	27	23	4,210	23	6,519		
MILLENNIUM	—	_	_	37	510	47	1,054		
4010	32	28	17	20	808	33	646		
SW BRAVO	—	—	—	—	_	37	540		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE§							9,806		

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK A									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
AC BARRIE	40	47	36	25	48,039	34	39,671		
AC DOMAIN	34	42	38	26	13,832	35	9,350		
AC CADILLAC	_	_	34	22	11,737	36	7,645		
MCKENZIE	—	—	41	27	2,220	45	3,696		
AC MAJESTIC	_	49	41	20	2,431	26	2,179		
AC INTREPID	—	—	41	19	3,277	48	1,782		
AC SUPERB	_	—	—	_	_	46	709		
AC CORA	36	40	38	25	993	19	599		
KATEPWA	34	37	_	_	_	30	575		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ίΕ§	34.8	67,753		

WINTER WHEAT YIELDS	S BY V	ARIETY	′ 1998–	–2002 [†]		RISK	AREA 14
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC FALCON	—	—	—	—	_	63	2,761
CDC CLAIR	_	28	45	41	1,562	50	2,649
CDC KESTRAL	41	47	36	_	—	43	1,565
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAGE	§	54.1	7,331

OAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK								
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
AC ASSINIBOIA	90	94	70	67	20,508	72	32,708	
RIEL	59	70	57	41	3,719	35	4,955	
TRIPLE CROWN	—	78	57	46	6,380	63	3,587	
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	—	_	69	3,238	
ROBERT	65	80	43	51	2,151	38	1,128	
AC RONALD	—	—	—	—	_	90	847	
COMMON	—	_	_	_	_	29	824	
AC PREAKNESS	66	76	47	—	_	8	813	
NO VAR	_	0	_	_	_	15	578	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	63.6	49,800	

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VA		RISK AREA 14					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC STRATUS	55	77	50	41	12,796	39	11,029
ROBUST	49	64	49	34	6,784	35	10,236
STANDER	53	73	45	35	2,625	45	4,355
BEDFORD	38	74	41	36	532	41	1,296
EXCEL	60	61	31	38	635	60	869
STANDARD	36	77	23	26	515	18	737
AC METCALFE	_				_	35	531
COMMON	—	—	25	25	699	37	505
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	§	38.9	31,004				

[†] Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers
 § Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

FLAX YIELDS BY VARIE	RISK AREA 14						
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
AC EMERSON	19	20	12	10	2,041	15	2,847
CDC BETHUNE	—	—	—	6	1,122	17	1,405
TAURUS	—	—	—	—	_	20	1,219
AC WATSON	_		_	_	_	15	632
CDC NORMANDY	19		9	_	_	20	554
NORLIN	15	17	7	4	819	13	524
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	§	16.9	8,404				

ARGENTINE CANOLA Y	IELDS 1998	BY VA 1999	RIETY 2000	1998 — 2001	2002[†] 2001	RISK 2002	AREA 14 2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
INVIGOR 2573 LT	_	_	20	23	4,456	36	8,466
INVIGOR 2733 LT	_	_	—	—	_	38	6,039
45A77 ST	_	—	—	—	_	34	6,032
46A76 (ST)	—	_	23	24	10,614	33	5,379
HYOLA 401	35	38	15	21	2,300	27	4,333
45A55 (RT)	—	—	—	—	—	30	3,925
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	—	—	25	1,345	36	3,256
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	38	21	13	3,102	33	2,843
46A65	33	36	21	21	1,973	24	2,060
34-55 RT	—	_	18	25	1,862	29	1,495
SP BUCKY (RT)	_	_	—	—		22	1,002
EXCEED (LT)	26	23	10	17	675	26	644
SP BOBCAT CL (ST)	_	_	—	—		24	617
NEX 705	—	—	—	—	—	30	576
CONQUEST (RT)	_	_	19	14	1,240	33	531
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	31.8	54,260

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK ARE										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
DELTA	_	_	25	20	2,026	31	2,294			
ESPACE	—	—	—	12	793	25	635			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ε§	30.9	3,834			

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 1										
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
AC BARRIE	43	43	40	27	47,449	39	38,980			
AC CADILLAC	—	—	44	25	7,044	37	6,870			
AC DOMAIN	38	39	38	27	6,674	37	3,731			
MCKENZIE	—	49	45	30	2,179	31	1,609			
5600HR	_	_	—	_	_	40	1,178			
AC CORA	37	—	_	25	770	36	850			
NO VAR	_	8	43	_	_	39	718			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE [§] 38.1 55,5										

WINTER WHEAT YIELD	S BY V	ARIETY	′ 1998–	–2002 [†]		RISK	AREA 15
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
CDC FALCON	_	_	_	_	_	61	2,361
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	57.2	3,196

OAT YIELDS BY VARIET	OAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†]									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]			
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres			
AC ASSINIBOIA	_	74	83	68	3,761	62	7,673			
TRIPLE CROWN	—	_	68	62	2,225	47	3,386			
AC PINNACLE	—	—	—	_	_	86	2,500			
ROBERT	48	43	58	48	1,914	34	1,280			
RIEL	43	32	69	_	_	59	1,186			
COMMON	—	—	—	38	980	41	955			
AC PREAKNESS	68	_	_	_	_	58	789			
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	ε§	57.8	19,034			

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VA	2001	RISK	AREA 15				
Varietv	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ROBÚST	58	56	55	41	12,341	58	10,876
CDC STRATUS	56	56	53	40	6,123	48	5,249
CDC SISLER	—	—	—	49	586	58	1,628
EXCEL	80	80	66	50	1,237	72	1,355
AC ROSSER	—	—	58	44	855	62	1,156
STANDER	65	61	53	48	1,982	65	849
AC METCALFE	_	_	_	35	519	53	836
AC LACOMBE	45	49	44	39	642	55	684
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	εŝ	55.4	25,938

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only Management Plus

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA

4

:

)

)_

<u>}</u>

IS

Δ



FLAX YIELDS BY VARIE		RISK AREA 15					
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
NORLIN	17	18	16	17	3,064	21	4,023
AC EMERSON	20	18	—	17	554	21	1,888
1084	_	_	_	_	_	20	1,498
CDC BETHUNE	—	—	—	12	987	21	1,374
AC WATSON	_	—	—	—	_	20	581
WEIGHTED AVERAGE Y	IELD A	ND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	21.0	10,538

ARGENTINE CANOLA	YIELDS	BY VA	RIETY	1998—	2002†	RISK	AREA 15
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acre
45A77 ST	—	—	—	—	_	35	11,175
45A55 (RT)	—	—	—	—	_	35	7,552
HYOLA 401	_	32	24	—	_	32	6,063
INVIGOR 2573 LT	—	—	—	29	3,446	37	5,464
INVIGOR 2733 LT	_	—	—	_	_	39	4,834
INVIGOR 2663 LT	—	—	—	24	3,155	42	4,742
46A76 (ST)	_	—	29	23	5,303	28	2,556
34-55 RT	—	—	25	25	2,943	35	1,959
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	28	32	—	—	_	32	1,771
46A65	33	35	24	26	649	29	1,740
45H21 (RT)	_	—	—	_	_	45	1,496
INVIGOR 2273 (LT)	—	36	22	28	753	29	991
45A51 (RT)	_	33	18	21	997	32	797
CONQUEST (RT)	—	—	26	—	_	19	771
SW ARROW (RT)	24	24	23	24	634	29	702
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	34.5	58,135

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 1									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002^{\ddagger}		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
MAJORET	—	—	—	—	_	45	815		
CARNEVAL	44	37	29	21	695	41	638		
SW BRAVO	—	_	30	24	1,024	44	593		

 † Yields only for those varieties grown on more than 500 acres and by more than 2 growers Weighted Average Yield and Total Acreage include acres not reported in the table

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 1									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
SWIFT	_	_	_	_		24	585		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	YIELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	39.8	3,324		

RED SPRING WHEAT YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA 16											
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]				
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres				
AC DOMAIN	44	46	46	46	8,813	38	9,541				
AC SPLENDOR	_	—	47	50	3,401	40	2,680				
CDC TEAL	42	44	38	44	2,937	32	1,046				
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	Ε§	37.6	13,727				

BARLEY* YIELDS BY VA	ARIETY	1998–	–2002 [†]			RISK	AREA 16
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres
ROBUST	68	_	—	_	_	67	1,896
EXCEL	54	64	62	68	2,172	49	1,415
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD A	ND TC	TAL A	CREAG	E§	57.4	4,666

ARGENTINE CANOLA YIELDS BY VARIETY 1998—2002 [†] RISK AREA									
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]		
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres		
INVIGOR 2153 (LT)	_	38	35	38	3,385	37	6,486		
45A77 ST	—	—	—	_	_	37	3,429		
45A55 (RT)	—	—	—	_	_	31	1,108		
HYLITE 243CL (ST)	—	—	—	35	538	30	593		
SW ARROW (RT)	_	29	28	35	1,514	26	570		
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	IELD /	AND TO	TAL A	CREAG	E§	35.4	16.731		

FIELD PEAS YIELDS BY	RISK AREA 16							
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2001	2002	2002 [‡]	
Variety	Yield	Yield	Yield	Yield	Acres	Yield	Acres	
ALFETTA	_	_	41	52	716	33	599	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE YIELD AND TOTAL ACREAGE [§] 31.4								

[‡] On system as of January 10, 2002 * Assuming 48 lbs./bu. ** AC Snowbird is considered feed wheat for CI insurance purposes only Management CECECECE Plus

february 2003 YIELD MANITOBA





No more blind dates: **SEEDING DATES**

By Doug Wilcox, MCIC

It is an unpleasant situation — your seeding equipment is parked while you continue to wait for rain and overcast skies to move through after weeks of wet weather.

With everything else you need to accomplish, the last thing you need is to worry about is how much yield you are losing with every day of delay. There is no need to be blind to the impacts of date of seeding on yield — the Manitoba Crop Insurance Corporation has been tracking seeding date and yield response information for years and this information is available to you.

When successful, early seeding can provide you with the advantage of increased yield potential and increased uniformity of ripening, grade and yield.

However, when sown too early, crops can be unnecessarily exposed to an increased risk of spring frost, susceptibility to herbicide residue and seed rots. Farmers are regularly challenged by the difficult task of balancing the advantages and disadvantages of early seeding to maximize return while minimizing risk.

Figure 1 illustrates the average relative reported yield for each week of the sowing season for Red Spring wheat, barley, oats, peas, flax, and Argentine canola over the 21-year period from 1981 to 2001, inclusive.

Generally, cereals had the highest

yields the earlier the planting and they had a more rapid decline in yield than did broadleaf crops for every week planting was delayed. The main factor limiting yield on later sown cereals is likely the increasing prevalence of leaf and other diseases with delayed planting.

For the broadleaf crops, sowing too early resulted in reduced yields relative to later plantings, but early plantings were still superior to later plantings. The main factor increasing yields of earlier seeded broadleaf crops is likely the earlier bloom set of earlier plantings which results in greater avoidance of summer heat waves.

Another interesting phenomena is that the yield decline trend in oats associated with delayed plantings is slower than other cereals, more like a broadleaved crop.

WHEN?

How early should you plant your crop? In Manitoba the general rule of thumb appears to be the earlier the better — but not too early, especially with plants susceptible to spring frosts or requiring warm soil for germination.

Cereals and peas have high resistance to spring frosts, flax and canola are less resistant and crops like corn and buckwheat have low resistance. Manitoba Crop Insurance pays an average of over \$3 million per year for reseed claims, some of which result from farmers jumping the gun and planting too early.

If you get spring frost, your crops will initially look pale white and can turn black. To see if recovery will occur, you can take a knife and dissect the growing point from top to bottom by slicing the stalk down the centre of the frozen leaves. Use a magnifying glass to examine the growing point; if it is still firm and healthy colored then there is a good chance the plant should recover. Provided the stand is adequate, the yields will probably be better than if the field is reseeded.

Although a spring frost will slow the growth of the existing crop and delay maturity, quite often reseeding can push back maturity even further and increase the risk of fall injury.

Your time and machinery options are usually limited, which mean not all crops can be sown at the same time, so which crop should you sow first? Your sequence of planting decision should be based on a combination of crop response to seeding date and crop value. The best strategy is to plant first the crops that have both high value and good yield response to early seeding.

MORE MANAGEMENT

Seeding early does require special management. Seeding early into colder soils





means slower seedling growth and these seedlings are more susceptible to damping off. Fungicidal seed treatments are therefore highly recommended. It is also recommended that shallow planting and starter phosphate be used . This will encourage more rapid "pop-up" and result in early, uniform, vigorous crop stands.

Delayed planting is a traditional approach used by some farmers to allow for the opportunity to control their first flush of weeds prior to planting — this is no longer generally necessary in crops with good post-emergent products.

In situations where herbicide-resistant weeds are a potential problem, delayed planting may still be necessary.

Late seeding can still produce a decent crop. As seeding gets pushed back, you may want to reconsider the varieties of crops you plan to seed and even crop kinds — the choices become more limited.

You may even want to reevaluate input considerations. For example too much nitrogen is known to delay maturity of some crops. Another delayed planting input strategy is to increase seeding rates to increase the rate of fall maturation. Late seeding also results in a late harvest which in turn is often subject to an increased risk of adverse fall weather and downgrading of crop quality. Fortunately, many times when seeding has been delayed the subsequent falls have remained open and farmers have avoided crop failures — but not every time.

So when do farmers typically sow crops in Manitoba? Figure 2 shows the average percentage of crop acres sown at weekly intervals in a cumulative fashion for each of Red Spring wheat, barley, oats, peas, flax and Argentine canola over the 21-year period from 1981 to 2001, inclusive.

On average at least 70 per cent of the Manitoba acreage of these crops is sown in one month — May. At least half the acres of RS wheat, barley and peas are sown by the second week of May or in the case of oats, flax and Argentine canola by the third week of May. Sowing of these crops is largely completed (90 per cent sown) during the fourth week of May for RS wheat and peas, and during the first week of June for barley, oats, flax and Argentine canola. Also note that by the third week of June over 95 per cent of the acreage of all these crops has been sown.

This information is based on actual information reported to MCIC by farmers and management and environmental influences were not standardized. Actual relative yield response can differ significantly from the averages presented depending on the variety, year, region and other factors.

An illustration of the regional differences that can occur is provided in the maps of Figures 3 and 4. Figure 3 is a map of the average seeding date (50 per cent sown) by region for Red Spring wheat in Manitoba over the period 1996 to 2002, inclusive. Figure 4 is a similar map for Argentine canola.

The observation that crops are sown later in the north than in the south is not unexpected. The observation that the southeast and southwest corners of the province have distinct seeding date patterns is less expected but can be rationalized on the basis of climate and soil.

Please remember that Crop Insurance has seeding deadlines which vary by crop; sowing after these deadlines means that coverage will be unavailable. These seeding deadlines are generally considered the "outside" dates for successful planting of these crops.

If you want to see more information on seeding date response for other crops or look at data that is more specific to your region, you can find this on the Internet on the Manitoba Management Plus Program web site (www.mmpp.com). The Crop Insurance seeding deadlines for specific crops are available from your local agent or online at www.mcic-online.com.

Genetics as risk management:

Crop traits

variety differences key to puzzle

By Gord Leathers

Every seed that hits the ground is made up of three important parts — the embryo, the starchy endosperm that feeds it and the seed coat that holds it all together.

Laced through every cell in the embryo are the genes, half from each parent, and within the genes lie the essence of the next generation of field beans, barley or whatever else that seed may be.

"This will program everything from disease resistance to yield to maturity," says Dr. Jeannie Gilbert of the Cereal Research Centre in Winnipeg. "It's all stored in the genes."

A gene is comprised of four different bases bolted together in different ways along a twisted strand of DNA. It instructs individual cells to make or use a particular protein.

All by itself it's a simple thing, however, put several million genes together and let them engage in a tug-of-war for dominance and things get a lot more complicated. You now have an organism with countless different traits which either enhance or undermine its survival.

In farming terms that means next year's crop has to endure what nature throws at it and still fill the hoppers with plump seeds. And this must be done with a rack of genes that don't come from around here.

Wheat and barley are from the Middle East, soybeans are from China and even the sunflower, whose ancestors sprang from the North American Great Plains, calls Kansas and Missouri home.

Still, the genes that made the ancestral plants flourish in their ancient breeding grounds are the first mechanism by which they cope here.

"The varieties that make it mature within our northern agro-ecosystem, otherwise they wouldn't be here," explains Daryl Domitruk, with the Manitoba Department of Agriculture. "To make it through, and on the market, its genetics are adapted to the area."

That area extends from the Canada/U.S. border north to The Pas and is roughly divided into different climatic zones. Oddly enough, it's elevation more than latitude that influences the length of the growing season.

"Our shorter growing seasons are surrounding Riding Mountain National Park," Domitruk says, and this is certainly illustrated on the growing degree day map where Wasagaming sits in a cool, blue circle. The other extreme is a "banana belt" in the Morden/Carman/Altona area where



Genetics can determine everything about a crop from seed size and type — as with these different varieties of soybeans — to end uses and days to maturity. Producers need to take genetic variability into account when selecting varieties to plant

farmers enjoy the warmest area for crop growing in the province.

However, in the North American context, the ecological differences between eastern and western Manitoba are not as significant as the greater differences between Portage la Prairie and South Dakota.

"There we're talking the difference between the cool-season cereal-growing regions of the Canadian Prairies and the United States corn belt where there is a significant difference in climate," Domitruk says. "Whereas the differences between Portage and Dauphin are much smaller."

This northerly climate doesn't seem to bother the major field crops such as wheat, barley, canola and flax.

Their ancestors moved to higher latitudes because of the seed trade from the Middle East into Europe and Asia. The genetically suitable variants produced good crops in their adopted homelands and their descendants moved into Europe and eventually the Americas. Since then, the breeding programs have become more elaborate, especially in the last 50 years.

"One of the major advancing areas that we've had in agriculture has been genetics," Domitruk says. "Our ability to produce things is better because we know so much more." There is nothing new about

There is nothing new about genetic manipulation within crop species — that's been the focus of breeding since its inception. Farmers selected seed that grew best in their own regions and produced the best plants that lost the least to pests and disease. This has been a constant, long-term game.

"You're basically putting a selec-

tion pressure on a pest and it's responding," Domitruk says. "There are new races of rust that appear, just through natural processes and advancements in genetics have made the necessity to keep ahead of the pathogens easier."

Other crops, such as soybeans and sunflowers are on the northern extension of their geographic range so, because of their metabolism, growing them becomes risky.

"Maturity is probably the No. 1 factor," says Rob Park, oilseed specialist and sunflower grower. "If you're going to grow a variety that matures in 129 days and there's no chance of that happening, then there's no point even starting."

For sunflowers, the critical element is the length of the growing season, particularly with confection varieties. They do well and are widely grown in the Dakotas and Minnesota. The northern ecological boundary for sunflowers appears to be the Trans Canada Highway although there are some growers as far north as Minnedosa.

"There's a lot of times we see that border being pushed quite a bit so growers have to be very careful that they're growing a variety that will mature and finish and yield in this area," Park says. "There's not a lot of lines that are bred specifically for this region because we're on the very outskirts of the sunflower region."

Soybeans are also critical for a slightly different reason. While the length of the growing season is important, what's really crucial for soybean is the amount of heat the plant can collect during its lifespan.

It's the heat that drives the plant's metabolism so its growth, its seed weight and seed quality are at their most productive under a warmer temperature regime than you'll find over most of the province.

"If you look at all soybean varieties, with all the genetic diversity, we're only selecting from a small percentage of the germplasm," according to Bruce Brolley with the Manitoba Department of Agriculture. "This is because we're looking at the most northern growing area in the world."

Breeding new varieties of plants is one of the driving forces behind agriculture and the last decade has seen an explosion of breeding programs in the labs of the government and the private sector.

Consequently there's been an explosion in the number of new varieties growing in the fields of the Manitoba farmer.

Some breeds are more resistant to pests and disease, some breeds are designed for specific crop rotations and others are able to produce products for new markets.

For instance, the last 10 years has seen a demand for

euricic acid in industrial lubricants so new strains of canola are producing it in the fields of Manitoba.

As a result of these new breeds, farmers are faced with a bewildering range of choices among breeds and brands and that's not likely to become any simpler any time soon. How is

with a bewildering range of choices

among breeds and brands and

that's not likely to become

any simpler any time soon **J**

a farmer to know what will work in the home region?

"The whole issue of adaptation has been taken care of by embedding it within in the variety registration system, the variety evaluation system and other programs such as crop insurance," Domitruk says. "Farmers are guaranteed that the material they have is inherently adapted to the Canadian Prairies."

The Manitoba Crop Variety Evaluation Team (MCVET) makes sure

they are by testing different breeds of plants in 19 sites across the province. The different varieties are evaluated and yields are compared to those of previous years and other breeds.

This gives the farmer a good idea as to how well the seeds suit the geography and how well they'll fit into an agronomic package. While emphasis on variety selection is warranted, it shouldn't be at the expense of other agronomic practices.

"It's really not a stand-alone decision," Domitruk says. "If you're going to select something with particularly high yield potential, you'd better support that yield potential with good agronomy."





















Risk Areas



Climate change and risk:

EXPANDED growing season could mean big changes for Manitoba crops

By Jeff Eyamie

Much is still unknown about what is happening to our climate and to growing conditions in Manitoba. Scientists disagree on the causes and the changes that will take place in the future.

Despite the unknown, it is easy for Manitoba farmers to see that something is happening. Droughts in some areas, flooding in others — a lengthening of the growing season. It would be advised to sharpen your pencils to plan for what is to come.

Despite all of the things we don't yet know, farmers need to start thinking about what to do — and how to turn climate change into opportunity — before it's too late.

THE SCIENCE – OR LACK THEREOF

While we still don't know everything about how our climate is changing, we know even less about how soil and growing conditions will change.

Humanity's best record-keeping indicates that the 20th Century was the warmest of the millennium. The '80s and '90s were the warmest decades ever recorded. Keep in mind, though, that this is global average temperature. This tells us very little. In recent years, Earth's temperature has been on the rise.

A changing climate could mean anything from an extended growing season to more variable weather. With growing evidence that global warming is a reality, it's going to be a factor farmers need to plan for in the future "This is not a uniform process," says Bevan Lawson, a meteorologist with Environment Canada. "When we say 'global warming,' people forget that first word."

Lawson says that generally accepted scientific models, such as the models developed by the Canadian Centre for Climate Modeling and Analysis (CCMA) based in Victoria, each demonstrate the same thing: our planet's temperature is going up.

The models developed by the CCMA predict average temperature increases for the next 100 years. In parts of Manitoba, particularly in the north, temperatures increase by as much as 6°C. When you consider the Ice Age was a global average temper-

ature of about 6°C lower than today, the impact could be tremendous. In the next 30 years, models indicate average increases of about 1.5°C.

So what does this mean for crop choices?

Danny Blair, a geography professor at the University of Winnipeg, studied the increase in frost-free days in Manitoba from the beginning of the 20th Century. He says data collected by weather stations over the past century show an increase in frost-free days of between one and two weeks, or an average of about one frost-free day every 10 years.

Northern areas see more change than southern areas. Throughout Manitoba, the increase in frost-free



days has been speeding up — over the last 50 years, we've increased by an average of three frost-free days per decade.

"The benefits of this are earlier seeding and a longer growing season," Blair says, speculating that soybeans and even corn could become more viable crops in the decades ahead.

"However, we need to know more about heat units and how much useful heat is in the soil — there's work to be done yet."

The temperature and moisture of Manitoba soil will change but no one can definitively say what that will mean, according to Paul Bullock, a professor of soil sciences at the University of Manitoba. Bullock says the research simply isn't there yet.

"I suspect that we've seen generally an increase in heat units," he says. Heat units are a calculation based on air temperature, but it doesn't necessarily require warmer temperatures during the day. Warmer overnight temperatures, or even a milder period before the thaw, can affect heat units within the soil.

"I would suspect we see either an increase in the rate of thaw or an increase in soil temperature," Bullock says. "Just like we see a change in the temperature of the atmosphere, we see a change in soil temperature.

"We have a lot to figure out before we're going to be able to say with any confidence how the agriculture industry, crop production in particular, will be affected by climate change," he says.



Several factors will interact with each other to determine exactly how the soil will respond to temperature increases. Nutrient levels, evaporation rates, a weakened winterkill and a number of other unknowns make predictions difficult.

"We expect warmer air temperatures to increase potential evaporation, which would put a greater demand on soil moisture," Bullock says. "However, we have also seen increased precipitation levels over the past century, leading us to believe that there will be more water available to recharge soil moisture. There is debate about which factor will be larger, so it is uncertain whether soil change — not that viable acres of farmland will expand.

Winter wheat becomes a viable option in this model, meaning nearly all of Manitoba's farms could see a twocrop season in 30 years. Fababeans could be viable as far north as Ste. Rose. The sunflower belt could extend up to Gladstone and beyond.

Now remember, this is just speculation. But the trends strongly suggest a growing season that is not only increasing, but speeding up the rate of growth in the frost-free period. It is likely the growing season will expand by more than nine days, especially in northern areas.

The temperature and moisture of Manitoba soil will change but no one can definitively say what that will mean... **J**

moisture levels will increase or decrease as a result of climate change."

Bullock says increased levels of carbon dioxide emission may also reduce the amount of water required by plants, which may actually reduce water requirements.

"We don't know if we will experience more drought and even if we do, we don't know whether crop growth and yield will be impacted."

It is reasonable to expect yields to increase in the years to come, which sounds like good news. However, those extreme weather events, changes in soil quality and new problems — like weeds, pests and diseases that our cold winters have killed in previous years — may take their toll on overall yields.

"Expect lots of variability," Lawson says. "It's weather — it's not climate."

That's the science of what is happening to your soil — now let's get to the science fiction.

WHAT TO PLANT IN 2003

Speculating that frost-free days were to increase by nine days everywhere in Manitoba over the next 30 years and that some of the variables like precipitation, soil moisture, heat units and new pests were held in check, a quick cross-reference of the *Manitoba Crop Atlas* published by Manitoba's Management Plus Program shows crops that are grown in some of the more fertile areas in Manitoba, such as in the Portage la Prairie and Morden areas, could be grown across half the province — crops like nonirrigated potatoes and silage corn.

Of course, you can't grow crops on rock, so these speculations only demonstrate that crop choices and yields will How it will affect soil, however, has yet to be determined.

"Most non-scientists think we already have all the answers about soil/crop/ atmosphere interactions. That aspect appears to be missing from any research funding for climate change that I have seen. I think it is because most people especially our policymakers who control research funding — assume we have this all figured out," Bullock says.

While there is some disagreement among researchers, it's generally assumed that we will see more extreme weather events. "Expect lots of variability," Lawson says. "It's weather — it's not climate."

Most evidence indicates we'll probably see slightly more precipitation than we currently do, but that the rain will come in heavier dumps and with less frequency. Manitobans are also very familiar with drought in one given year and flooding the next.

"Agriculture is adapting to climate change now — it's the speed of adaptation in the future that we need to determine," says Bryan Yusishen, director of Manitoba Agriculture and Food. "The same things we do for sustainable agriculture are what we'll do for climate change."

Yusishen says local ag groups had an initial meeting with the province in September to discuss adaptation in the face of climate change and provincial officials are looking into the effects of climate change on insurance premiums and payouts.

"Agriculture in Manitoba should fare not too badly, as long as we handle the issue of water," Yusishen says.

"Climate change is one reason to do what we do well."

Yield robbers of Manitoba:

THE USUAL SUSPECTS catch farmers year after year

By Doug Wilcox, MCIC

When bad things happen to good farmers, Crop Insurance (CI) is often there to help.

Manitoba Crop Insurance has been in business for over 40 years and currently insures over 90 per cent of the annual cropped acres in Manitoba. This experience provides CI with good insight into the major yield robbers occurring — and recurring — in Manitoba.

The natural perils covered include drought, excess moisture, frost, hail, fire, excess heat, wind, wildlife and waterfowl, disease and pests — which do not reflect all the yield robbers present in Manitoba.

CI can only report on those yield robbers that have had a financial impact on each year to be tracked by CI. Reseed claims are the claims occurring prior to the seeding deadline for which the producer receives the reseeding benefit and reseeds the field. They are also spot-loss claims where the total crop is not necessarily affected.

Reseeding can rob the yield of the subsequent reseeded crop by delaying planting. CI data indicates that the major reason for having to reseed crops in Manitoba is excess moisture; this accounts for 35 per cent of payouts. Other major causes of loss are soil crusting (18 per cent), frost (17 per cent), wind and heat (six per cent), poor germination and emergence (six per cent) and drought (six per cent). The relative significance of the Similar calculations can be done for the other yield robbers. In the other Prairie provinces drought is a significantly larger piece of their yield robber pie. So Manitobans need to be careful not to jump on the Prairie drought bandwagon; we have another as important yield robber — excess moisture.

It is important to note that the information presented so far is for all crops in all of Manitoba. Individual crops and individual regions have their own unique, predominant yield robbers. For example in white pea beans excess moisture accounts for 62 per cent post-seeding payouts, whereas in buckwheat excess moisture only accounts for 26 per cent of payouts. It is also interesting to

ff...the best assessment of your production risks will come by reading the criminal records of the yield robbers in your crops in your region **J**

CI (i.e. insured perils which reduce yield below coverage). To CI the financial impact of a yield robber depends on the insurance selections (crops, coverage levels and price options) and the extent and nature of the loss.

The bite a yield robber takes out of your crop could be less than the deductible for your CI policy — CI does not track these losses. For example, if disease robs only 10 per cent of your yield, it will likely not put you in a claim situation and this peril will not be tracked by crop insurance.

Also, under the CI contract producers are required to follow good farming practices and properly plant, care for and harvest the insured crop. If management-related yield losses occur (e.g. chemical drift, poor seed, lack of weed control, etc.) there is no claim and these yield robbers are not tracked by CI. The result is that some yield robbers may be underreported in the CI record.

RESEEDING

Reseed claims are the first claims

main reseed yield robbers is illustrated in Figure 1. In recent years reseeding claims in Manitoba have averaged payouts totaling nearly \$2.8 million/year.

POST-SEEDING

The main yield robbers in Manitoba are reflected in CI post-seeding claims. In recent years, post-seeding claims in Manitoba have averaged nearly \$40 million a year.

The major, long-term post-seeding yield robber in Manitoba is excess moisture accounting for 36 per cent of CI payouts. Drought is the next major yield robber accounting for 31 per cent of payouts. Frost (10 per cent), hail (eight per cent), heat (six per cent) and disease (three per cent) are the other significant yield robbers.

The relative significance of post-seeding yield robbers is illustrated in Figure 2. It is interesting to note that if 31 per cent of payouts are due to drought and that on average \$40 million is paid out for all post-seeding claims, this means Manitoba pays out an average of over \$12.4 million per year due to drought. note that only 15 per cent of buckwheat payouts are due to frost — this is higher than the all-crop average, but not as high as some might guess.

TERRITORIAL

The yield robbers present in Manitoba tend to stick to their own territories. Most territories are predictable if you are familiar with agriculture in Manitoba — the Red River Valley tends to have excess moisture concerns, the southwest corner drought concerns and the northern regions frost concerns.

Other examples of the territoriality of yield robbers are less obvious. For example Figure 3 illustrates that soil crusting is predominantly a problem in the Red River Valley and Figure 4 illustrates that diseases are predominately an issue in the southwest.

This kind of territoriality is true for almost every yield robber in Manitoba. From a CI perspective this is fortunate for Manitoba producers as the territoriality of various risk types allows year-toyear offsetting of yield robbers, reducing









provincial yield variability and in turn keeping premiums low.

FEED THE BEARS

When feeding by waterfowl (ducks, geese, cranes) and big game (deer, elk, moose, bear) rob you of crop yield the wildlife loss compensation program administered by CI will reimburse you.

Over the last 15 years Manitoba's wildlife compensation program has paid over \$5 million for waterfowl damage, \$4 million for big game damage to crops and \$2 million for big game damage to stored hay.

Wildlife damage of crops also tends to follow the territorial nature of other yield robbers. Approximately 24 per cent of the total payouts for wildlife compensation were paid out in only 29 townships. The highest payout areas are concentrated around the following three areas: Duck Mountain Provincial Park, Riding Mountain National Park and Oak Hammock Marsh.

Farmers have been worrying about yield robbers for as long as they have been praying for rain — this isn't likely to change. However, the best assessment of your production risks will come by reading the criminal records of the yield robbers in your crops in your region.

Knowledge of the criminal record of yield robbers is especially relevant if you are a new producer or are expanding your operation into new crops or into new geographic locations. This knowledge may also assist you with customizing crop insurance products to best address your production risks. Check out the Manitoba Management Plus Program web site (www.mmpp.com) for additional useful yield robber criminal record (Causes of Loss) information.